High Income Investment Grade Trust, Series 6

High Income Investment Grade Trust, Series 6 invests in a portfolio of intermediate-term taxable bonds, generally maturing approximately 5 to 8 years from the Date of Deposit. The Trust seeks to provide a high level of current income and to preserve capital. The Trust is a unit investment trust included in Invesco Unit Trusts, Taxable Income Series 481.

Estimated Current Return: ___%
Estimated Long Term Return: ___%

Estimated current return shows the estimated cash you should receive each year divided by the Unit price. Estimated long term return shows the estimated return over the estimated life of your Trust. These estimates are as of the opening of business on the Date of Deposit and will vary thereafter. We base this estimate on an average of the bond yields over their estimated life. This estimate also reflects the sales charge and estimated expenses. We derive the average yield for your portfolio by weighting each bond’s yield by its value and estimated life. Unlike estimated current return, estimated long term return accounts for maturities, discounts and premiums of the bonds. These estimates show a comparison rather than a prediction of returns. No return calculation can predict your actual return. Your actual return may vary from these estimates.

___, 2014

You should read this prospectus and retain it for future reference.

The Securities and Exchange Commission has not approved or disapproved of the Trust Units or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any contrary representation is a criminal offense.
**Investment Objective.** The Trust seeks to provide a high level of current income and to preserve capital.

**Principal Investment Strategy.** The Trust invests in a portfolio of intermediate-term taxable bonds. In selecting bonds for the Trust, the Sponsor considered the following factors, among others:

- the bonds must have a rating of at least “BBB-” by Standard & Poor’s or Fitch Ratings or “Baa3” by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. or, if not rated, credit characteristics sufficiently similar to those of comparable bonds that were so rated as to be acceptable for acquisition by the Trust in the opinion of the Sponsor;
- the prices of the bonds relative to other bonds of comparable quality and maturity;
- the current income provided by the bonds;
- the diversification of bonds as to purpose of issue and location of issuer; and
- the probability of early return of principal or high legal or event risk.

The portfolio generally consists of taxable bonds maturing approximately 5 to 8 years from the Date of Deposit. Following the Date of Deposit, a bond may cease to be rated or its rating may be reduced, even to below “investment grade” (“BBB-” or “Baa3”), and the Trust could continue to hold such bond. See “Trust Administration--Portfolio Administration”.

**Principal Risks.** As with all investments, you can lose money by investing in the Trust. The Trust also might not perform as well as you expect. This can happen for reasons such as these:

- **Bond prices will fluctuate.** The value of your investment may fall over time.
- **The value of the bonds will generally fall if interest rates, in general, rise.** No one can predict whether interest rates will rise or fall in the future.

- **A bond issuer or insurer may be unable to make interest and/or principal payments in the future.**
- **The financial condition of an issuer may worsen or its credit ratings may drop, resulting in a reduction in the value of your Units.** This may occur at any point in time, including during the primary offering period.
- **The financial markets, including those for corporate bonds, have recently experienced periods of extreme illiquidity and volatility.** Due to these significant difficulties in the financial markets, there can be substantial uncertainty in assessing the value of an issuer’s assets or the extent of its obligations. For these or other reasons, the ratings of the bonds in the Trust’s portfolio may not accurately reflect the current financial condition or prospects of the issuer of the bond.
- **A bond issuer might prepay or “call” a bond before its stated maturity.** If this happens, the Trust will distribute the principal to you but future interest distributions will fall. A bond’s call price could be less than the price the Trust paid for the bond.
- **The Trust may concentrate in bonds of a particular type of issuer.** This makes the Trust less diversified and subject to greater risk than a more diversified portfolio. The types of bonds in the portfolio are listed under “Portfolio Diversification” on the next page.
- **We do not actively manage the Trust’s portfolio.** Except in limited circumstances, the Trust will hold the same bonds even if the market value declines.
Summary of Essential Financial Information
(As of the opening of business on the Date of Deposit)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Estimated Annual Income Per Unit</th>
<th>Estimated Distributions</th>
<th>CUSIP Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Deposit</td>
<td>Aggregate offering price of bonds in Trust</td>
<td>Estimated annual interest income</td>
<td>Initial interest distribution</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal amount of bonds in Trust</td>
<td>Aggregate offering price of bonds per Unit</td>
<td>Less estimated expenses</td>
<td>$25, 2014</td>
<td>Monthly Wrap Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal amount of bonds per Unit (1)</td>
<td>Plus sales charge per Unit</td>
<td>Estimated net interest income</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Units</td>
<td>Plus organization costs per Unit (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average maturity of bonds</td>
<td>Public offering price per Unit (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___ years</td>
<td>Redemption price per Unit (2)(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Portfolio Diversification (% of Par Value)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Bonds</td>
<td>Estimated annual interest income</td>
<td>Initial interest distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___ %</td>
<td>Less estimated expenses</td>
<td>$25, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td>Estimated net interest income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>___</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>Estimated Distributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sales Charge (% of Unit Price)</td>
<td>Initial interest distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Costs per Unit (2)</td>
<td>Subsequent interest distributions (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>Record dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>10th day of each month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Annual Expenses per Unit</td>
<td>Distribution dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trustee’s fee (5)</td>
<td>25th day of each month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisory, bookkeeping and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>administrative services fee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation fee (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total annual expenses per Unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Some bonds may mature or be called or sold during your Trust’s life. This could include a call or sale at a price below par value. We cannot guarantee that the value of your Units will equal the principal amount of bonds per Unit when you redeem them or when your Trust terminates.

(2) During the initial offering period, part of the value of the Units represents an amount of cash deposited to pay all or a portion of the costs of organizing the Trust. The estimated organization costs per Unit will be deducted from the assets of the Trust at the earlier of six months after the Date of Deposit or the end of the initial offering period. If Units are redeemed prior to any such reduction, these costs will not be deducted from the redemption proceeds. Organization costs are not included in the Public Offering Price per Unit for purposes of calculating the sales charge.

(3) After the first settlement date (_____ 2014), you will pay accrued interest from this date to your settlement date less interest distributions.

(4) This shows estimated expenses in the first year other than organization costs. Organization costs are not deducted from interest income.

(5) Your Trust assesses this fee per $1,000 principal amount of bonds. Your Trust assesses other fees per Unit.

(6) We base this amount on estimated cash flows per Unit. This amount will vary with changes in expenses, interest rates and maturity, call or sale of bonds. The Information Supplement includes the estimated cash flows.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregate Principal</th>
<th>Name of Issuer, Title, Interest Rate and Maturity Date of Bonds (1)(2)</th>
<th>Ratings (3)</th>
<th>Redemption Feature (4)(5)</th>
<th>Offering Price To Trust (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CORPORATE BONDS - ___%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate Principal</td>
<td>Name of Issuer, Title, Interest Rate and Maturity Date of Bonds (1)(2)</td>
<td>Ratings (3)</td>
<td>Redemption Feature (4)(5)</td>
<td>Offering Price To Trust (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregate Principal</td>
<td>Name of Issuer, Title, Interest Rate and Maturity Date of Bonds (1)(2)</td>
<td>Ratings (3)</td>
<td>Redemption Feature (4)(5)</td>
<td>Offering Price To Trust (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$__________________  $__________________

For an explanation of the footnotes used on this page, see “Notes to Portfolio”.
Notes to Portfolio

(1) The bonds are represented by “regular way” or “when issued” contracts for the performance of which an irrevocable letter of credit, obtained from an affiliate of the Trustee, has been deposited with the Trustee. Contracts to acquire the bonds were entered into during the period from ___ to ___.

(2) The Offering Price to Trust of the bonds is based on the offering side valuation as of the opening of business on the Date of Deposit determined by the Evaluator, a third party valuation provider, on the basis set forth under “Public Offering--Unit Price”. In accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”), ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, the Trust’s investments are classified as Level 2, which refers to security prices determined using other significant observable inputs. Observable inputs are inputs that other market participants would use in pricing a security. These may include quoted market prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds and credit risk. The cost of the bonds to the Sponsor for the Trust is $___ and the Sponsor’s profit or (loss) is $___.

“+” indicates that the bond was issued by a foreign company.

The Sponsor may have entered into contracts which hedge interest rate fluctuations on certain bonds. The cost of any such contracts and the corresponding gain or loss as of the evaluation time of the bonds is included in the Cost to Sponsor. Bonds marked by “##” following the maturity date have been purchased on a “when, as and if issued” or “delayed delivery” basis. Interest on these bonds begins accruing to the benefit of Unitholders on their respective dates of delivery. Delivery is expected to take place at various dates after the first settlement date.

“#” prior to the coupon rate indicates that the bond was issued at an original issue discount. See “The Trusts--Risk Factors”. The tax effect of bonds issued at an original issue discount is described in “Federal Tax Status”.

(3) “o” indicates that the rating is contingent upon receipt by the rating agency of a policy of insurance obtained by the issuer of the bonds. All ratings are by Standard & Poor’s and Moody’s, respectively, unless otherwise indicated. “*” indicates a security rating by Fitch. “NR” indicates that the rating service did not provide a rating for that bond. For a brief description of the ratings see “Description of Ratings” in the Information Supplement.

(4) With respect to any bonds presenting a redemption feature in this column, this is the year in which each bond is initially or currently callable and the call price for that year. Each bond continues to be callable at declining prices thereafter (but not below par value) except for original issue discount bonds which are redeemable at prices based on the issue price plus the amount of original issue discount accreted to redemption date plus, if applicable, some premium, the amount of which will decline in subsequent years. “S.F.” indicates a sinking fund is established with respect to an issue of bonds. The bonds may also be subject to redemption without premium at any time pursuant to extraordinary optional or mandatory redemptions if certain events occur. See “The Trusts--Risk Factors”.

(5) Certain bonds have a “make whole” call option and are redeemable in whole or in part at any time at the option of the issuer at a redemption price that is generally equal to the sum of the principal amount of such bond, a “make whole” amount, and any accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. The “make whole” amount is generally equal to the excess, if any, of (i) the aggregate present value as of the date of redemption of principal being redeemed and the amount of interest (exclusive of interest accrued to the date of redemption) that would have been payable if redemption had not been made, determined by discounting the remaining principal and interest at a specified rate (which varies from bond to bond and is generally equal to an average of yields on U.S. Treasury obligations or municipal obligations, as applicable, with maturities corresponding to the remaining life of the bond plus a premium rate) from the dates on which the principal and interest would have been payable if the redemption had not been made, over (ii) the aggregate principal amount of the bonds being redeemed. In addition, the bonds may also be subject to redemption without premium at any time pursuant to extraordinary optional or mandatory redemptions if certain events occur. See “The Trusts--Risk Factors”.

Underwriting. The Underwriters named below have purchased Units in the following amounts from the Sponsor, the sole and exclusive principal underwriter. See “Public Offering--Sponsor and Underwriter Compensation”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Unitholders of High Income Investment Grade Trust, Series 6 (included in Invesco Unit Trusts, Taxable Income Series 481):

We have audited the accompanying statement of condition including the related portfolio of High Income Investment Grade Trust, Series 6 (included in Invesco Unit Trusts, Taxable Income Series 481) as of _____, 2014. The statement of condition is the responsibility of the Sponsor. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on such statement of condition based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the statement of condition is free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Trust’s internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Trust’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the statement of condition, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the Sponsor, as well as evaluating the overall statement of condition presentation. Our procedures included confirmation with The Bank of New York Mellon, Trustee, of cash or an irrevocable letter of credit deposited for the purchase of securities as shown in the statement of condition as of _____, 2014. We believe that our audit of the statement of condition provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the statement of condition referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of High Income Investment Grade Trust, Series 6 (included in Invesco Unit Trusts, Taxable Income Series 481) as of _____, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

New York, New York
_____, 2014

GRANT THORNTON LLP

Statement of Condition
As of the opening of business on _____, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTMENT IN BONDS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts to purchase bonds (1)(2)</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest to the first settlement date (1)(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITY AND INTEREST OF UNITHOLDERS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liability--</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest payable to Sponsor (1)(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization costs (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest of Unitholders--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost to investors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Gross underwriting commission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Organization costs (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest to Unitholders (1)(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Units outstanding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net asset value per Unit</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The value of the bonds is determined by Standard & Poor’s Securities Evaluations, Inc. on the bases set forth under “Public Offering--Unit Price”. The contracts to purchase bonds are collateralized by an irrevocable letter of credit in an amount sufficient to satisfy such contracts.

(2) The Trustee will advance the amount of the net interest accrued to the first settlement date to the Trust for distribution to the Sponsor as the Unitholder of record as of such date.

(3) A portion of the public offering price represents an amount of cash sufficient to pay for all or a portion of the costs incurred in establishing the Trust. The amount of these costs are set forth under “Summary of Essential Financial Information--Expenses”. A distribution will be made as of the earlier of six months after the Date of Deposit or the close of the initial offering period to an account maintained by the Trustee from which the organization expense obligation of the investors will be satisfied. To the extent that actual organization costs of the Trust are greater than the estimated amount, only the estimated organization costs added to the public offering price will be reimbursed to the Sponsor and deducted from the assets of the Trust.
THE TRUSTS

**General.** Your Trust was created under the laws of the State of New York pursuant to a Trust Indenture and Agreement (the “Trust Agreement”), dated the date of this prospectus (the “Date of Deposit”) among Invesco Capital Markets, Inc., as Sponsor, Standard & Poor’s Securities Evaluations, Inc., as Evaluator, Invesco Investment Advisers LLC, as Supervisor, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee.

Your Trust may be an appropriate medium for investors who desire to participate in a portfolio of taxable bonds with greater diversification than they might be able to acquire individually. Diversification of a Trust’s assets will not eliminate the risk of loss always inherent in the ownership of bonds. In addition, bonds of the type initially deposited in the portfolio of a Trust are often not available in small amounts and may, in the case of any privately placed bonds, be available only to institutional investors.

On the Date of Deposit, the Sponsor deposited with the Trustee the aggregate principal amount of bonds indicated in the “Summary of Essential Financial Information”. The bonds initially consist of delivery statements relating to contracts for their purchase and cash, cash equivalents and/or irrevocable letters of credit issued by a financial institution. Thereafter, the Trustee, in exchange for the bonds, delivered to the Sponsor evidence of ownership of the number of Units indicated under “Summary of Essential Financial Information”. A Trust that holds primarily intermediate-term bonds, as described on the cover of the prospectus, is referred to herein as an “Intermediate-Term Trust”. Unless otherwise terminated as provided herein, the Trust Agreement will terminate at the end of the calendar year prior to the twentieth anniversary of its execution in the case of a Intermediate-Term Trust.

Each Unit initially offered represents a fractional undivided interest in the principal and net income of the Trust. The number of Units is determined based upon a $1,000 principal amount of bonds in the Trust per Unit. To the extent that any Units are redeemed by the Trustee, the fractional undivided interest in the Trust represented by each Unit will increase, although the actual interest in the Trust will remain unchanged. Units will remain outstanding until redeemed by Unitholders or until the termination of the Trust Agreement.

**Objective and Bond Selection.** The objective of a Intermediate-Term Trust is to provide a high level of current income and to preserve capital by investing in a portfolio primarily consisting of intermediate-term bonds. There is, of course, no guarantee that a Trust will achieve its objective. Your Trust may be an appropriate investment vehicle for investors who desire to participate in a portfolio of fixed income bonds with greater diversification than they might be able to acquire individually.

In selecting bonds for each Trust, the Sponsor considered the following factors, among others: (a) as of the Date of Deposit, the bonds must have an S&P rating of at least “BBB-”, a Moody’s rating of at least “Baa3” or, if not rated, credit characteristics sufficiently similar to those of comparable bonds that were so rated as to be acceptable for acquisition by a Trust in the opinion of the Sponsor, (b) the prices of the bonds relative to other bonds of comparable quality and maturity, (c) the current income provided by the bonds; (d) the diversification of bonds as to purpose of issue and location of issuer; (e) the probability of early return of principal or high legal or event risk. After the Date of Deposit, a bond may cease to be rated or its rating may be reduced below the minimum required as of the Date of Deposit. Neither event requires elimination of a bond from a Trust but may be considered in the Sponsor’s determination as to whether or not to direct the Trustee to dispose of the bond (see “Trust Administration--Portfolio Administration”). In particular, the ratings of the bonds in an Investment Grade Income Trust could fall below “investment grade” (i.e., below “BBB-” or “Baa3”) during the Trust’s life and the Trust could continue to hold the bonds. See “The Trusts--Risk Factors”.

**Risk Factors.** All investments involve risk. This section describes the main risks that can impact the value of bonds in your Trust. You should understand these risks before you invest. If the value of the bonds falls, the value of your Units will also fall. You can lose money by investing in a Trust. No one can guarantee that your Trust will achieve its objective or that your investment return will be positive over any period. The Information Supplement, which is available upon request, contains a more detailed discussion of risks related to your investment.

**Current economic conditions.** The economic recession in the United States which began in 2007
technically came to an end in June of 2009, however the U.S. and global economies continue to feel the effects of this recessionary period, including increased unemployment and below-average levels of economic activity. The U.S. and other foreign governments have taken extraordinary steps to combat the effects of the economic crisis, however the ultimate impact of these measures is unknown and cannot be predicted. In December of 2013, the U.S. Federal Reserve announced it would begin tapering its quantitative easing program, however, there continues to be uncertainty concerning potential future changes to the federal funds rate following a period of near zero interest rates over the previous five years. On August 5, 2011, Standard & Poor’s Rating Services downgraded the long-term sovereign credit rating of the United States of America to AA+ from AAA, citing the prolonged controversy over raising the statutory debt ceiling and the related fiscal policy debate. Any substantial change in general market conditions may result in sudden and significant valuation increases or declines in your Trust’s holdings.

Market risk is the risk that the value of the bonds in your Trust will fluctuate. This could cause the value of your Units to fall below your original purchase price or below the par value. Market value fluctuates in response to various factors. These can include changes in interest rates, inflation, the financial condition of a bond’s issuer or insurer, perceptions of the issuer or insurer, or ratings on a bond. Even though the Supervisor supervises your portfolio, you should remember that no one manages your portfolio. Your Trust will not sell a bond solely because the market value falls as is possible in a managed fund.

Foreign securities risk. Investing in foreign securities typically involves more risks than investing in securities of United States issuers. These risks can increase the potential for losses in the Trust and affect its Unit price. These risks may include risks such as losses due to political, economic and social developments, international trade conditions, foreign taxes (including withholding taxes), restrictions on foreign investments or exchange of securities, foreign currency fluctuations or restriction on exchange or repatriation of currencies.

The political, economic and social structures of some foreign countries may be less stable and more volatile than those in the U.S., and investments in these countries may be subject to the risks of internal and external conflicts, currency devaluations, foreign ownership limitations and tax increases. It is possible that a government may take over the assets or operations of a company or impose restrictions on the exchange or export of currency or other assets. Some countries also may have different legal systems that may make it difficult for the Trust to exercise investor rights, and pursue legal remedies with respect to its foreign investments. Diplomatic and political developments, including rapid and adverse political changes, social instability, regional conflicts, terrorism and war, could affect the economies, industries, and securities and currency markets, and the value of the Trust’s investments, in non-U.S. countries. No one can predict the impact that these factors could have on the Trust’s portfolio securities.

Foreign companies may not be subject to the same disclosure, accounting, auditing and financial reporting standards and practices as U.S. companies. Thus, there may be less information publicly available about foreign companies than about most U.S. companies.

Certain foreign securities may be less liquid (harder to sell) and more volatile than many U.S. securities. This means the Trust may at times be unable to sell foreign securities in a timely manner or at favorable prices.

Interest rate risk is the risk that the value of bonds will fall if interest rates increase. Bonds typically fall in value when interest rates rise and rise in value when interest rates fall. Bonds with longer periods before maturity are often more sensitive to interest rate changes. Interest rates in the United States are at or near historic lows, which may increase the Trust’s exposure to risks associated with rising interest rates.

Credit risk is the risk that a bond’s issuer or insurer is unable to meet its obligation to pay principal or interest on the bond.

Call risk is the risk that the issuer prepays or “calls” a bond before its stated maturity. An issuer might call a bond if interest rates fall and the bond pays a higher interest rate or if it no longer needs the money for the original purpose. If an issuer calls a bond, your Trust will distribute the principal to you but your future interest distributions will fall. You might not be able to reinvest this principal at as high a yield. A bond’s call price could be less than the price your Trust paid for the bond and could be below the bond’s par value. This means that you
could receive less than the amount you paid for your Units. If enough bonds in your Trust are called, your Trust could terminate early.

Some or all of the bonds may also be subject to extraordinary optional or mandatory redemptions if certain events occur, such as certain changes in tax laws, the substantial damage or destruction by fire or other casualty of the project for which the proceeds of the bonds were used, and various other events. Build America Bonds, Qualified School Construction Bonds, Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds, in particular, are often subject to extraordinary or mandatory redemption provisions. See “Taxable Municipal Bonds--Build America Bonds” and “Taxable Municipal Bonds--Qualified School Construction Bonds, Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds” below. The call provisions are described in general terms in the “Redemption Feature” column of the “Portfolio” section, and the notes thereto.

Bond quality risk is the risk that a bond will fall in value if a rating agency decreases the bond’s rating.

Bond concentration risk is the risk that your Trust is less diversified because it concentrates in a particular type of bond. When a certain type of bond makes up 25% or more of a Trust, the Trust is considered to be “concentrated” in that bond type. The different bond types are described in the following sections.

Reduced diversification risk is the risk that your Trust will become smaller and less diversified as bonds are sold, are called or mature. This could increase your risk of loss and increase your share of Trust expenses.

Insurer default risk is the risk that an investor of an insured trust could lose income and/or principal if the issuer and the insurer of a municipal bond both default in making their payment obligations.

Liquidity risk is the risk that the value of a bond will fall if trading in the bond is limited or absent, thereby adversely affecting the Trust’s net asset value. No one can guarantee that a liquid trading market will exist for any bond because these bonds generally trade in the over-the-counter market (they are not listed on a securities exchange). Because of the difficulties currently being experienced by many companies in the financial services industry, many markets are experiencing substantially reduced liquidity. As a result of such illiquidity, the Trustee may have to sell other or additional bonds if necessary to satisfy redemption requests.

Litigation and legislation risk is the risk that future litigation or legislation could affect the value of your Trust. Litigation could challenge an issuer’s authority to issue or make payments on bonds.

Taxable Municipal Bonds. Your Trust may invest significantly or exclusively in taxable municipal bonds. States, municipalities and public authorities issue these bonds to raise money for a variety of purposes. In selecting bonds, the Sponsor seeks to diversify your portfolio by bond purpose. This section briefly describes different bond types to help you better understand your investment. The types of bonds in your Trust are listed under “Portfolio”. These bonds are also described in greater detail in the Information Supplement.

General obligation bonds are backed by the general taxing power of the issuer. The issuer secures these bonds by pledging its faith, credit and unlimited taxing power for the payment of principal and interest. All other municipal bonds in the Trusts are revenue bonds.

Revenue bonds are payable only from the revenue of a specific project or authority. They are not supported by the issuer’s general power to levy taxes. The risk of default in payment of interest or principal increases if the income of the related project falters because that income is the only source of payment.

Airport bonds are obligations of issuers that own and operate airports. The ability of the issuer to make payments on these bonds primarily depends on the ability of airlines to meet their obligations under use agreements. Due to increased competition, deregulation, increased fuel costs and other factors, some airlines may have difficulty meeting these obligations.

Bond banks are vehicles that pool various municipal obligations into larger offerings. This reduces the cost of borrowing for the municipalities. The types of financing projects that these obligations support vary.

Build America Bonds were issued pursuant to The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the “Recovery Act”), authorizing states and local governments to issue taxable bonds and to elect to receive a federal subsidy for a portion of their borrowing costs through a refundable tax credit paid
by the United States Treasury Department ("Treasury") and the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), in an amount equal to 35 percent of the total coupon interest payable to investors (45 percent for those Build America Bonds that qualify and are designated as Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds).

The Recovery Act adds a new section to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the "Code") which authorizes Build America Bonds that meet the definition of "qualified bonds", as described below, to receive the refundable credit. The Code section defines the term "qualified bond" to mean a Build America Bond (a) issued before January 1, 2011, (b) with 100 percent of the excess of (i) the available project proceeds (as defined to mean sale proceeds of such issue less not more than two percent of such proceeds used to pay issuance costs plus investment proceeds thereon), over (ii) the amounts in a reasonably required reserve fund with respect to such issue, are to be used for capital expenditures, and (c) where the issuer makes an irrevocable election to have this subsection of the Code apply.

Should a Build America Bond's issuer fail to continue to meet the applicable requirements as imposed on the bonds by the Code, it is possible that such issuer may not receive federal cash subsidy payments, impairing the issuer's ability to make scheduled interest payments. In addition, Build America Bonds are often subject to extraordinary redemption in the event that changes to Sections 54AA or 6431 of the Code (as added by the Recovery Act) or other federal legislation causes to reduce or eliminate the federal cash subsidy payment for a portion of a Build America Bond issuer's borrowing costs.

Qualified School Construction Bonds, Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (collectively, "Qualified Bonds") are taxable bonds that are similar to certain Build America Bonds, in that state and municipal Qualified Bond issuers may elect to receive direct interest-subsidy payments from the U.S. Treasury if certain conditions are met. The Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, enacted into federal law on March 18, 2010, permits issuers of Qualified Bonds to seek applicable subsidies on bond interest payments.

Qualified School Construction Bonds, issued pursuant to provisions in the Recovery Act, are issued to finance the construction, rehabilitation, or repair of a public school facility or for the acquisition of land on which such a bond-financed facility will be constructed. Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds are both issued pursuant to the "Energy Improvement and Extension Act of 2008", and like Qualified School Construction Bonds, are governed by Section 54A of the Code. Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds are issued for qualified energy conservation purposes, and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds are issued to finance qualified renewable energy facilities that produce electricity. Although the year of issuance is not restricted for Qualified Bonds, federal law provides for limits on the dollar amounts that may be issued for these bond types.

Federal legislation has amended the Code in recent years to provide for certain qualifications and restrictions on the issuance of Qualified Bonds, and to include such bonds under the definition of "qualified tax credit bond" as found in Section 54A of the Code. Eligible issuers of Qualified School Construction Bonds may receive subsidy payments equal to 100% of the lesser of the actual interest rate of the bonds or the tax credit rate for municipal tax-credit bonds, set daily by the U.S. Treasury. Eligible issuers of Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds may receive subsidy payments equal to 70% of the lesser of the actual interest rate of the bonds or the tax credit rate for municipal tax-credit bonds, set daily by the U.S. Treasury.

Should the issuer of a Qualified Bond fail continue to meet the applicable requirements as imposed on any such bond by the Code or other federal laws, it is possible that such issuer may not receive federal cash subsidy payments, impairing the issuer’s ability to make scheduled interest payments or even causing mandatory redemption of a portion of the bonds. As provided in Section 54A of the Code, Qualified Bonds are also subject to mandatory redemption of any portion of available project proceeds that remain unexpended by the issuer after three years from the date of issuance. This mandatory redemption must be completed within 90 days after such three-year period, unless an extension is granted by the Treasury. Additionally, Qualified Bonds may be subject to extraordinary redemption in the event that changes to applicable sections of the Code or other federal legislation causes
to reduce or eliminate the federal cash subsidy payment for any Qualified Bond issuer’s borrowing costs.

Certificates of participation are generally a type of municipal lease obligation. Lease payments of a governmental entity secure payments on these bonds. These payments depend on the governmental entity budgeting appropriations for the lease payments. A governmental body cannot obligate future governments to appropriate for or make lease payments, but governments typically promise to take action necessary to include lease payments in their budgets. If a government fails to budget for or make lease payments, sufficient funds may not exist to pay interest or principal on these bonds.

Health care bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenue from hospitals and hospital systems. The ability of these issuers to make payments on bonds depends on factors such as facility occupancy levels, demand for services, competition resulting from hospital mergers and affiliations, the need to reduce costs, government regulation, costs of malpractice insurance and claims, and government financial assistance (such as Medicare and Medicaid).

Higher education bonds are obligations of issuers that operate universities and colleges. These issuers derive revenues from tuition, dormitories, grants and endowments. These issuers face problems related to declines in the number of college-age individuals, possible inability to raise tuitions and fees, uncertainty of continued federal grants, state funding or donations, and government legislation or regulation.

Industrial revenue bonds finance the cost of acquiring, building or improving industrial projects. Private corporations usually operate these projects. The ability of the issuer to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the creditworthiness of the corporation operating the project, revenues generated by the project, expenses of the project and environmental or other regulatory restrictions.

Multi-family housing bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from mortgage loans on multiple family residences, retirement housing or housing projects for low to moderate-income families. These bonds are generally pre-payable at any time. It is likely that their life will be less than their stated maturity. The ability of these issuers to make payments on bonds depends on such factors as rental income, occupancy levels, operating expenses, mortgage default rates, taxes, government regulations and appropriation of subsidies.

Other care bonds include obligations of issuers that derive revenue from mental health facilities, nursing homes and intermediate care facilities. These bonds are similar to health care bonds and the issuers face the same general risks.

Public building bonds finance the cost of acquiring, leasing, building or improving public buildings such as offices, recreation facilities, convention centers, police stations, correctional institutions and parking garages. The ability of the issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the government budgeting sufficient funds to make lease or mortgage payments on the facility, user fees or rents, costs of maintenance and decreases in use of the facility.

Public education bonds are obligations of issuers that operate primary and secondary schools. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends primarily on ad valorem taxes. These issuers may also face problems related to litigation contesting state constitutionality of public education financing.

Retail electric/gas/telephone bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from the retail sale of utilities to customers. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the rates and demand for these utilities, competition, government regulation and rate approvals, overhead expenses and the cost of fuels.

Single family housing bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from mortgage loans on single family residences. Single family residences generally include one to four-family dwellings. These bonds are similar to multi-family housing bonds and the issuers face the same general risks.

Tax district bonds are obligations secured by a pledge of taxing power by a municipality, such as tax increment financing or tax allocation bonds. These bonds are similar to general obligation bonds. Unlike general obligation bonds, however, the municipality does not pledge its unlimited taxing power to pay these bonds. Instead, the municipality pledges revenues from a specific tax to pay these bonds. If the tax cannot support payment of interest and principal, a municipality may need to raise the related tax to pay
these bonds. An inability to raise the tax could have an adverse effect on these bonds.

*Transportation bonds* are obligations of issuers that own and operate public transit systems, ports, highways, turnpikes, bridges and other transportation systems. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on variations in use, the degree of government subsidization, competition from other forms of transportation and increased costs. Port authorities derive revenues primarily from fees imposed on ships using the port facilities. These fees can fluctuate depending on the local economy and competition from air, rail and truck transportation. Increased fuel costs, alternative transportation modes and competition from toll-free bridges and roads will impact revenues of issuers that operate bridges, roads or tunnels.

*Waste disposal bonds* are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from resource recovery facilities. These facilities process solid waste, generate steam and convert steam to electricity. These issuers face problems such as costs and delays due to environmental concerns, effects of conservation and recycling, destruction or condemnation of a project, void or unenforceable contracts, changes in the economic availability of raw materials, operating supplies or facilities, and other unavoidable changes that adversely affect operation of a project.

*Water and sewer bonds* are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from user fees from the sale of water and sewerage services. These issuers face problems such as the ability to obtain rate increases, population declines, difficulties in obtaining new fresh water supplies and “no-growth” zoning ordinances. These issuers also face many of the same problems of waste disposal issuers.

*Wholesale electric bonds* are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from selling electricity to other utilities. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the rates and demand for electric utilities, competition, overhead expenses and government regulation and rate approvals.

**State Risk Factors.** Your Trust may invest significantly in taxable municipal bonds of issuers from a particular state. The financial condition of a state may be affected by various national, economic, social and environmental policies and conditions. Additionally, limitations imposed by constitutional amendments, legislative measures, or voter initiatives on a state and its local governments concerning taxes, bond indebtedness and other matters may constrain the revenue-generating capacity of the state and its local governments and, therefore, the ability of the issuers of the bonds to satisfy their obligations.

The economic vitality of a state and its various regions and, therefore, the ability of the state and its local governments to satisfy the bonds, are affected by numerous factors, such as natural disasters, complications with exports and industry deregulation.

A state may be a party to numerous lawsuits in which an adverse final decision could materially affect the state’s governmental operations and consequently its ability to pay debt service on its obligations.

**Corporate Bond Industry Risks.** Your Trust may invest significantly in certain industries. Any negative impact on the related industry will have a greater impact on the value of Units than on a portfolio diversified over several industries. You should understand the risks of these industries before you invest.

**Consumer Discretionary and Consumer Staples Issuers.** Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by companies that manufacture or sell various consumer products. General risks of these companies include the overall state of the economy, intense competition and consumer spending trends. A decline in the economy which results in a reduction of consumers’ disposable income can negatively impact spending habits. Global factors including political developments, imposition of import controls, fluctuations in oil prices, and changes in exchange rates may adversely affect issuers of consumer products and services.

Competitiveness in the retail industry may require large capital outlays for the installation of automated checkout equipment to control inventory, track the sale of items and gauge the success of sales campaigns. Retailers who sell their products over the Internet have the potential to access more consumers, but may require sophisticated technology to remain competitive. Changes in demographics and consumer tastes can also affect the demand for, and the success of, consumer products and services in the marketplace. Consumer products and services companies may be subject to government regulation affecting their products and operations which may negatively impact...
Tobacco companies may be adversely affected by new laws, regulations and litigation.

Financial Services Issuers. Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by banks and other financial services companies. In general, financial services issuers are substantially affected by changes in economic and market conditions, including: the liquidity and volatility levels in the global financial markets; interest rates, as well as currency and commodities prices; investor sentiment; inflation and unemployment; the availability and cost of capital and credit; exposure to various geographic markets or in commercial and residential real estate; competition from new entrants in their fields of business; and the overall health of the U.S. and international economies.

The financial services sector continues to be adversely affected by global developments over the last few years including recessionary conditions, deterioration in the credit markets and recurring concerns over sovereign debt. A substantial amount of assets have been written down by financial institutions, with the impact of these losses forcing a number of large traditional banks, investment banks, broker/dealers and insurers into either liquidation or combination. This also has drastically increasing the credit risk, and possibility of default, of bonds issued by such institutions faced with these problems. Many of the institutions may continue to experience difficulty in accessing credit markets to finance their operations and in maintaining appropriate levels of equity capital. While the U.S. and foreign governments, and their respective government agencies, have taken steps to address problems in the financial markets and with financial institutions, there can be no assurance that the risks associated with investment in financial services issuers will decrease as a result of these steps.

Such economic and political conditions and increased public scrutiny during the past few years have led to new legislation and increased regulation in the U.S. and abroad, creating additional challenges for financial institutions. Regulatory initiatives and requirements that are being proposed around the world may be inconsistent or may conflict with regulations to which financial services issuers are currently subject, thereby resulting in higher compliance and legal costs, as well as the potential for higher operational, capital and liquidity costs. These laws and regulations may affect the manner in which a particular financial institution does business and the products and services it may provide. Increased regulation may restrict a company’s ability to compete in its current businesses or to enter into or acquire new businesses. New regulations may reduce or limit a company’s revenue or impose additional fees, assessments or taxes on those companies and intensify regulatory supervision, adversely affecting business operations or leading to other negative consequences.

Among the most prominent pieces of legislation following the financial crisis has been the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), enacted into federal law on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act includes reforms and refinements to modernize existing laws to address emerging risks and issues in the nation’s evolving financial system. It also establishes entirely new regulatory regimes, including in areas such as systemic risk regulation, over-the-counter derivatives market oversight, and federal consumer protection. The Dodd-Frank Act is intended to cover virtually all participants in the financial services industry for years to come, including banks, thrifts, depository institution holding companies, mortgage lenders, insurance companies, industrial loan companies, broker-dealers and other securities and investment advisory firms, private equity and hedge funds, consumers, numerous federal agencies and the federal regulatory structure. These regulatory changes may have adverse effects on certain issuers in your Trust, and could lead to decreases in such issuers’ profits or revenues. In many cases the full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on a financial institution’s business remains uncertain because of the extensive rule-making still to be completed. The Sponsor is unable to predict the ultimate impact of the Dodd-Frank Act, and any resulting regulation, on the securities in your Trust or on the financial services industry in general.

Developments in the Eurozone sovereign debt crisis, including the potential for further downgrades of sovereign credit ratings, as well as downgrades to the ratings of the U.S. government’s sovereign credit rating, could adversely affect financial services issuers. In addition, the departure of any Eurozone country from use of the Euro could lead to serious disruptions to foreign exchanges, operations and settlements, which may have an adverse effect on financial services issuers.
The financial condition of customers, clients and counterparties, including other financial institutions, could adversely affect financial services issuers. Financial services issuers are interrelated as a result of market-making, trading, clearing or other counterparty relationships. Many of these transactions expose financial services issuers to credit risk as a result of the actions of, or deterioration in, the commercial soundness of other counterparty financial institutions. Economic and market conditions may increase credit exposures due to the increased risk of customer, client or counterparty default. Downgrades to the credit ratings of financial services issuers could have a negative effect on liquidity, cash flows, competitive position, financial condition and results of operations by significantly limiting access to funding or capital markets, increasing borrowing costs or triggering increased collateral requirements. Financial services issuers face significant legal risk, both from regulatory investigations and proceedings, as well as private actions. Profit margins of these companies continue to shrink due to the commoditization of traditional businesses, new competitors, capital expenditures on new technology and the pressure to compete globally.

Banks face competition from nontraditional lending sources as regulatory changes have permitted new entrants to offer various financial products. Technological advances allow these nontraditional lending sources to cut overhead and permit the more efficient use of customer data. Banks continue to face tremendous pressure from mutual funds, brokerage firms and other financial service providers in the competition to furnish services that were traditionally offered by banks. Bank profitability is largely dependent on the availability and cost of capital funds, and can fluctuate significantly when interest rates change or due to increased competition. Further, economic conditions in the real estate market may have a particularly strong effect on certain banks and savings associations.

Companies engaged in investment management and broker-dealer activities are subject to volatility in their earnings and share prices that often exceed the volatility of the equity market in general. Adverse changes in the direction of the stock market, investor confidence, equity transaction volume, the level and direction of interest rates and the outlook of emerging markets could adversely affect the financial stability, as well as the stock prices, of these companies.

Companies involved in the insurance, reinsurance and risk management industry underwrite, sell or distribute property, casualty and business insurance. Many factors affect insurance, reinsurance and risk management company profits, including interest rate movements, the imposition of premium rate caps, a misapprehension of the risks involved in given underwritings, competition and pressure to compete globally, terrorism, weather catastrophes or other disasters and the effects of client mergers. Individual companies may be exposed to risks including reserve inadequacy and the inability to collect from reinsurance carriers. Life and health insurance companies may be affected by mortality and morbidity rates, including the effect of epidemics. Insurance companies are subject to extensive governmental regulation, including the imposition of maximum rate levels, which may not be adequate for some lines of business. Proposed or potential tax law changes may also adversely affect insurance companies' policy sales, tax obligations and profitability.

Health Care Issuers. Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by health care companies. These issuers include companies involved in advanced medical devices and instruments, drugs and biotechnology, managed care, hospital management/health services and medical supplies. These companies face substantial government regulation and approval procedures.

On March 30, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (incorporating the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, collectively the “Act”) was enacted into law. The Act continues to have a significant impact on the health care sector through the implementation of a number of reforms in a complex and ongoing process, with varying effective dates. Significant provisions of the Act include the introduction of required health care coverage for most Americans, significant expansion in the number of Americans eligible for Medicaid, modification of taxes and tax credits in the health care sector, and subsidized insurance for low to middle income families. The Act also provides for more thorough regulation of private health insurance providers, including a prohibition on the denial of coverage due to pre-existing conditions. Although the entirety of the Act will not come into effect until 2018, in the interim, health
care companies will face continuing and significant changes that may cause a decrease in profitability due to increased costs and changes in the health care market. The Sponsor is unable to predict the full impact of the Act on the Securities in your Trust.

As illustrated by the Act, Congress may from time to time propose legislative action that will impact the health care sector. The proposals may span a wide range of topics, including cost and price controls (which may include a freeze on the prices of prescription drugs), incentives for competition in the provision of health care services, promotion of pre-paid health care plans and additional tax incentives and penalties aimed at the health care sector. The government could also reduce funding for health care related research.

Drug and medical products companies also face the risk of increasing competition from new products or services, generic drug sales, product obsolescence, termination of patent protection for drug or medical supply products and the risk that a product will never come to market. The research and development costs of bringing a new drug or medical product to market are substantial. This process involves lengthy government review with no guarantee of approval. These companies may have losses and may not offer proposed products for several years, if at all. The failure to gain approval for a new drug or product can have a substantial negative effect on a company and its stock. The goods and services of health care issuers are also subject to risks of malpractice claims, product liability claims or other litigation.

Health care facility operators face risks related to demand for services, the ability of the facility to provide required services, an increased emphasis on outpatient services, confidence in the facility, management capabilities, competitive forces that may result in price discounting, efforts by insurers and government agencies to limit rates, expenses, the cost and possible unavailability of malpractice insurance, and termination or restriction of government financial assistance (such as Medicare, Medicaid or similar programs).

Industrials Issuers. Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by industrials companies. General risks of industrials companies include the general state of the economy, intense competition, imposition of import controls, volatility in commodity prices, currency exchange rate fluctuation, consolidation, labor relations, domestic and international politics, excess capacity and consumer spending trends. Companies in the industrials sector may be adversely affected by liability for environmental damage and product liability claims. Capital goods companies may also be significantly affected by overall capital spending and leverage levels, economic cycles, technical obsolescence, delays in modernization, limitations on supply of key materials, depletion of resources, government regulations, government contracts and e-commerce initiatives.

Industrials companies may also be affected by factors more specific to their individual industries. Industrial machinery manufacturers may be subject to declines in commercial and consumer demand and the need for modernization. Aerospace and defense companies may be influenced by decreased demand for new equipment, aircraft order cancellations, disputes over or ability to obtain or retain government contracts, changes in government budget priorities, changes in aircraft-leasing contracts and cutbacks in profitable business travel. The number of housing starts, levels of public and non-residential construction including weakening demand for new office and retail space, and overall construction spending may adversely affect construction materials and equipment manufacturers. Stocks of transportation companies are cyclical and can be significantly affected by economic changes, fuel prices and insurance costs. Transportation companies in certain countries may also be subject to significant government regulation and oversight, which may negatively impact their businesses.

Materials Issuers. Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by companies in the materials industry. Companies in the materials sector could be adversely affected by commodity price volatility, exchange rates, import controls and increased competition. Production of materials often exceeds demand as a result of overbuilding or economic downturns, leading to poor investment returns. Companies in the materials sector are at risk for environmental damage and product liability claims. Companies in the materials sector may be adversely affected by depletion of resources, technical progress, labor relations, and governmental regulations.

Telecommunications Issuers. Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by telecommunications
Companies in the telecommunications industry allocate significant resources in efforts to comply with applicable government regulations. Telecommunications companies operating in the U.S. must comply with applicable state and federal regulations, including those of the Federal Communications Commission. The costs of complying with governmental regulations, delays or failure to receive required regulatory approvals or the enactment of new adverse regulatory requirements may negatively affect the business of telecommunications companies. Recent industry consolidation trends may lead to increased regulation in primary markets. Internationally, telecommunications companies may face regulatory challenges such as securing pre-marketing clearance of products and prices, which may be arbitrary and unpredictable. U.S. federal and state governments regulate permitted rates of return and the kinds of services that a company may offer. U.S. federal legislation governing the telecommunications industry may become subject to judicial review and additional interpretation, which may adversely affect certain telecommunications issuers.

The competitive landscape in the telecommunications sector is intense and constantly evolving. The products and services of these companies may become outdated very rapidly. A company's performance can be hurt if the company fails to keep pace with technological advances. At the same time, demand for some telecommunications services remains weak, as several key markets are oversaturated and many customers can choose between several service providers and technology platforms. To meet increasing competition, companies may have to commit substantial capital, particularly in the formulation of new products and services using new technologies. As a result, many companies have been compelled to cut costs by reducing their workforce, outsourcing, consolidating and/or closing existing facilities and divesting low selling product lines. Certain telecommunications companies may be engaged in fierce competition for a share of the market of their products and may have higher costs, including liabilities associated with the medical, pension and postretirement expenses of their workforce, than their competitors. As a result, competitive pressures are intense and the stocks are subject to rapid price volatility. Moreover, continued consolidation in this industry could create integration expenses and delay, and consequent management diversion of attention away from ongoing operations and related risks, among other factors, could result in the failure of these companies to realize expected cost savings or synergies.

Several high-profile bankruptcies of large telecommunications companies in the past have illustrated the potentially unstable condition of the telecommunications industry. High debt loads that were accumulated during the industry growth spurt of the 1990s caught up to the industry, causing debt and stock prices to trade at distressed levels for many telecommunications companies and increasing the cost of capital for needed additional investment. Furthermore, certain companies involved in the industry have also faced scrutiny for alleged accounting irregularities that may have led to the overstatement of their financial results, and other companies in the industry may face similar scrutiny. Moreover, some companies have begun the process of emerging from bankruptcy and may have reduced levels of debt and other competitive advantages over other telecommunications companies. Due to these and other factors, the risk level of owning the securities of telecommunications companies remains substantial and may continue to rise.

Information Technology Issuers. Your Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by information technology companies. These companies include companies that are involved in computer and business services, enterprise software/technical software, Internet and computer software, Internet-related services, networking and telecommunications equipment, telecommunications services, electronics products, server hardware, computer hardware and peripherals, semiconductor capital equipment and semiconductors. These companies face risks related to rapidly changing technology, rapid product obsolescence, cyclical market patterns, evolving industry standards and frequent new product introductions. An unexpected change in technology can have a significant negative impact on a company. The failure of a company to introduce new products or technologies or keep pace with rapidly changing technology, can have a negative impact on the company's results. Information technology stocks tend to experience substantial price volatility and speculative trading. Announcements about new products, technologies, operating results or marketing
alliances can cause stock prices to fluctuate dramatically. At times, however, extreme price and volume fluctuations are unrelated to the operating performance of a company. This can impact your ability to redeem your Units at a price equal to or greater than what you paid.

**Energy Issuers.** The Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by energy companies. Energy companies are subject to legislative or regulatory changes, adverse market conditions and/or increased competition affecting the energy sector. The prices of the securities of energy companies may fluctuate widely due to changes in value and dividend yield, which depend largely on the price and supply of energy fuels, international political events relating to oil producing countries, energy conservation, the success of exploration projects, and tax and other governmental regulatory policies.

Energy companies depend on their ability to find and acquire additional energy reserves. The exploration and recovery process involves significant operating hazards and can be very costly. An energy company has no assurance that it will find reserves or that any reserves found will be economically recoverable. The industry also faces substantial government regulation, including environmental regulation. These regulations have increased costs and limited production and usage of certain fuels. Furthermore, certain companies involved in the industry have also faced scrutiny for alleged accounting irregularities that may have led to the overstatement of their financial results, and other companies in the industry may face similar scrutiny.

In addition, energy companies face risks related to political conditions in oil producing regions (such as the Middle East), the actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), the price and worldwide supply of oil and natural gas, the price and availability of alternative fuels, operating hazards, government regulation and the level of consumer demand. Political conditions of some oil producing regions have been unstable in the past and appear to change on an ongoing basis. Political instability or war in these regions could have a negative impact on your investment. Oil and natural gas prices can be extremely volatile. OPEC controls a substantial portion of world oil production. OPEC may take actions to increase or suppress the price or availability of oil. Various domestic and foreign government authorities and international cartels also impact these prices. Any substantial decline in these prices could have an adverse effect on energy companies.

**Utility Issuers.** The Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by utility companies or in companies related to the utility or energy industries. Many utility companies, especially electric and gas and other energy related utility companies, are subject to various uncertainties, including:

- Risks of increases in fuel and other operating costs;
- Restrictions on operations and increased costs and delays as a result of environmental, nuclear safety and other regulations;
- Regulatory restrictions on the ability to pass increasing wholesale costs along to the retail and business customer;
- Coping with the general effects of energy conservation;
- Technological innovations which may render existing plants, equipment or products obsolete;
- The effects of unusual, unexpected or abnormal local weather;
- Maturing markets and difficulty in expanding to new markets due to regulatory and other factors;
- The potential impact of natural or manmade disasters;
- Difficulty obtaining adequate returns on invested capital, even if frequent rate increases are approved by public service commissions;
- The high cost of obtaining financing during periods of inflation;
- Difficulties of the capital markets in absorbing utility debt and equity securities;
- Increased competition; and
- International politics.

Any of these factors, or a combination of these factors, could affect the supply of or demand for
energy, such as electricity or natural gas, or water, or the ability of the issuers to pay for such energy or water which could adversely affect the profitability of the issuers of the bonds and the performance of the Trust.

Utility companies are subject to extensive regulation at the federal level in the United States, and many are regulated at the state level as well. The value of utility company stocks may decline because governmental regulation affecting the utilities industry can change. This regulation may prevent or delay the utility company from passing along cost increases to its customers, which could hinder the utility company's ability to meet its obligations to its suppliers and could lead to the taking of measures, including the acceleration of obligations or the institution of involuntary bankruptcy proceedings, by its creditors against such utility company. Furthermore, regulatory authorities, which may be subject to political and other pressures, may not grant future rate increases, or may impose accounting or operational policies, any of which could adversely affect a company's profitability and its stock price.

Certain utility companies have experienced full or partial deregulation in recent years. These utility companies are frequently more similar to industrial companies in that they are subject to greater competition and have been permitted by regulators to diversify outside of their original geographic regions and their traditional lines of business. These opportunities may permit certain utility companies to earn more than their traditional regulated rates of return. Some companies, however, may be forced to defend their core business and may be less profitable. While regulated providers tend to have regulated returns, non-regulated providers' returns are not regulated and generally are more volatile. These developments have reduced stability of cash flows in those states with non-regulated providers and could impact the short-term earnings potential of some in this industry. These trends have also made shares of some utility companies less sensitive to interest rate changes but more sensitive to changes in revenue and earnings and caused them to reduce the ratio of their earnings they pay out as dividends.

Certain utilities companies face risks associated with the operation of nuclear facilities for electric generation, including, among other considerations, litigation, the problems associated with the use of radioactive materials and the effects of natural or man-made disasters. In general, certain utility companies may face additional regulation and litigation regarding their power plant operations, increased costs from new or greater regulation of these operations, and expenses related to the purchase of emissions control equipment.

More About the Bonds. In addition to describing the purpose of the bonds, other information about the bonds is also included in the “Portfolio” and notes thereto. This information relates to other characteristics of the bonds. This section briefly describes some of these characteristics.

Original issue discount bonds were initially issued at a price below their face (or par) value. These bonds typically pay a lower interest rate than comparable bonds that were issued at or above their par value. In a stable interest rate environment, the market value of these bonds tends to increase more slowly in early years and in greater increments as the bonds approach maturity. The issuers of these bonds may be able to call or redeem a bond before its stated maturity date and at a price less than the bond’s par value.

Zero coupon bonds are a type of original issue discount bond. These bonds do not pay any current interest during their life. If an investor owns this type of bond, the investor has the right to receive a final payment of the bond's par value at maturity. The price of these bonds often fluctuates greatly during periods of changing market interest rates compared to bonds that make current interest payments. The issuers of these bonds may be able to call or redeem a bond before its stated maturity date and at a price less than the bond's par value.

“When, as and if issued” bonds are bonds that trade before they are actually issued. This means that the Sponsor can only deliver them to your Trust “when, as and if” the bonds are actually issued. Delivery of these bonds may be delayed or may not occur. Interest on these bonds does not begin accruing to your Trust until the Sponsor delivers the bond to the Trust. You may have to adjust your tax basis if the Sponsor delivers any of these bonds after the expected delivery date. Any adjustment would reflect interest that accrued between the time you purchased your Units and the delivery of the bonds to your Trust.
This could lower your first year estimated current return. You may experience gains or losses on these bonds from the time you purchase Units even though your Trust has not yet received them.

In order to acquire certain bonds, it may be necessary for the Sponsor or Trustee to pay amounts covering accrued interest on the bonds which exceed the amounts which will be made available through cash furnished by the Sponsor on the Date of Deposit. This cash may exceed the interest which would accrue to the First Settlement Date. The Trustee has agreed to pay for any amounts necessary to cover any excess and will be reimbursed when funds become available from interest payments on the related bonds. Also, since interest on any “when, as and if issued” bonds does not begin accruing to the benefit of Unitholders until the date of delivery, the Trustee may reduce its fee and pay Trust expenses in order to maintain or approach the same estimated net annual interest income during the first year of the Trust’s operations as described under “Summary of Essential Financial Information”.

No FDIC Guarantee. An investment in your Trust is not a deposit of any bank and is not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency.

ESTIMATED CURRENT AND LONG-TERM RETURNS

The Estimated Current Return and the Estimated Long-Term Return as of the Date of Deposit are set forth on the cover of the prospectus. Estimated Current Return is calculated by dividing the estimated net annual interest income per Unit by the Public Offering Price. The estimated net annual interest income per Unit will vary with changes in fees and expenses of your Trust and with the principal prepayment, default (if any), redemption, maturity, exchange or sale of bonds. The Public Offering Price will vary with changes in the price of the bonds. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the present Estimated Current Return will be realized in the future. Estimated Long-Term Return is calculated using a formula which (1) takes into consideration, and determines and factors in the relative weightings of, the market values, yields (which takes into account the amortization of premiums and the accretion of discounts) and estimated retirements of the bonds and (2) takes into account the expenses and sales charge associated with Units. Since the value and estimated retirements of the bonds and the expenses of your Trust will change, there is no assurance that the present Estimated Long-Term Return will be realized in the future. The Estimated Current Return and Estimated Long-Term Return are expected to differ because the calculation of Estimated Long-Term Return reflects the estimated date and amount of principal returned while the Estimated Current Return calculation includes only net annual interest income and Public Offering Price.

PUBLIC OFFERING

General. Units are offered at the Public Offering Price. During the initial offering period the Public Offering Price is based on the aggregate offering price of the bonds, the sales charge described below, cash, if any, in the Principal Account (including cash to pay organization costs) and accrued interest, if any. The maximum sales charge for a Intermediate-Term Trust is equal to 3.00% of the Public Offering Price per Unit (3.093% of the aggregate offering price of the bonds). Organization costs are not included in the Public Offering Price per Unit for purposes of calculating the sales charge. After the initial public offering period, the secondary market Public Offering Price is based on the bid prices of the bonds, the sales charge described below, cash, if any, in the Principal Account and accrued interest, if any. The actual sales charge that may be paid by an investor may differ slightly from the sales charges shown herein due to rounding that occurs in the calculation of the Public Offering Price and in the number of Units purchased. The minimum purchase in the primary and secondary market is one Unit. Certain broker-dealers or selling firms may charge an order handling fee for processing Unit purchases.

The maximum secondary market sales charge is computed as described in the following table based upon the estimated long-term return life in years (“ELTR Life”) of your Trust’s portfolio:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELTR Life (Years)</th>
<th>Sales Charge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 but less than 4</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 but less than 6</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 but less than 8</td>
<td>3.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 but less than 11</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 but less than 15</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 and over</td>
<td>4.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The ELTR Life represents the estimated life of the bonds in a Trust’s portfolio as determined for purposes of calculating Estimated Long-Term Return. See “Estimated Current and Long-Term Returns”. The sales charges in the above table are expressed as a percentage of the secondary market Public Offering Price per Unit. For example, the maximum secondary market sales charge for a Trust with an ELTR Life of “6 but less than 8” years would be 3.50% of the Public Offering Price per Unit (3.630% of the aggregate bid price of the bonds).

Reducing Your Sales Charge. The Sponsor offers a variety of ways for you to reduce the sales charge that you pay. It is your financial professional’s responsibility to alert the Sponsor of any discount when you purchase Units. Before you purchase Units you must also inform your broker-dealer of your qualification for any discount or of any combined purchases to be eligible for a reduced sales charge. As further described in this section, purchases executed on the same day by a single person at the same broker-dealer may be combined for sales charge discount calculation purposes. Secondary market purchases are excluded for purposes of sales charge discount calculations.

Large Quantity Purchases. You can reduce your sales charge by increasing the size of your investment. Based upon the aggregate dollar amount of Units purchased by a Unitholder in the initial offering period, the following table shows both the corresponding sales charge and the concession or agency commission allowed to broker-dealers and other selling agents on such transaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transaction Amount</th>
<th>Sales Charge for Intermediate-Term Trusts</th>
<th>Broker-Dealer Concession or Agency Commission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $100,000</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 - $249,999</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,000 - $499,999</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,000 - $999,999</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000,000 - $2,999,999</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000,000 - $4,999,999</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000,000 or more</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Except as described below, these quantity discount levels apply only to purchases of a single Trust made by the same person on a single day from a single broker-dealer. Additional information regarding the broker-dealer concession or agency commission is presented in the “Unit Distribution” section.

Aggregated Purchases—For purposes of achieving these levels, you may combine certain purchases made on the same day through a single broker-dealer or selling agent, as further described below. You must inform your broker-dealer of any combined purchases before your purchase to be eligible for a reduced sales charge. For purposes of achieving the quantity discount levels in the above table, Units of a Trust offered in this prospectus may be combined with (i) purchases of units of any other Invesco-sponsored unit investment trusts during each trust’s initial offering period, (ii) purchases of units made as described under the “Fee Accounts” paragraph in this section, and (iii) purchases of units eligible for the sales charge discounts described under the “Exchanges” paragraph in this section. In addition, Units purchased in the name of your spouse (or the equivalent if recognized under local law) or children (including step-children) under 21 living in the same household as you will be deemed to be additional purchases by you for the purposes of calculating the applicable quantity discount level. The reduced sales charge levels will also be applicable to a trustee or other fiduciary purchasing Units for a single trust, estate (including multiple trusts created under a single estate) or fiduciary account.

Fee Accounts. A portion of the sales charge is waived for certain accounts described in this paragraph. Purchases by these accounts are subject only to the portion of the sales charge that is retained by the Sponsor. Please refer to the section called “Fee Accounts” for additional information on these purchases. Units may be purchased in the initial offering period at the Public Offering Price less the maximum applicable concession the Sponsor typically allows to brokers and dealers (either non-Underwriter or Underwriter concession, whichever is greater) for purchases by investors who purchase Units through registered investment advisers, certified financial planners and registered broker-dealers who in each case either charge periodic fees for brokerage services, financial planning, investment advisory or asset management services, or provide such services in connection with the establishment of an investment account for which a comprehensive “wrap fee” charge (“Wrap Fee”) is imposed (“Fee Accounts”) if the Units are purchased for
a Fee Account and the Trust is subject to a Wrap Fee (i.e. the Trust is “Wrap Fee Eligible”). For example, with respect to an Intermediate Term Trust, Wrap Fee Eligible purchasers would pay a sales charge of only 0.65%, which represents the maximum sales charge minus the maximum applicable concession of 2.35%. The Sponsor reserves the right to limit or deny purchases of Units described in this paragraph by investors or selling firms whose frequent trading activity is determined to be detrimental to a Trust. As described above in “Large Quantity Purchases”, Units purchased for a Fee Account may be combined with certain other purchases for purposes of achieving the quantity discount levels presented in the table above under “Large Quantity Purchases”, however, such Wrap Fee Eligible Units are not eligible for any sales charge discounts in addition to that which is described in this paragraph and under the “Fee Accounts” section found below.

Exchanges. During the initial offering period of a Trust, unitholders of any Invesco-sponsored unit investment trust and unitholders of unaffiliated unit investment trusts may utilize their redemption or termination proceeds from such trusts to purchase Units of an Intermediate-Term Trust, or units of any other Invesco-sponsored unit investment trust in its initial offering period, at a reduced sales charge. For such purchases of less than $3,000,000, the sales charge will be reduced by 1.00% for Units of an Intermediate-Term Trust. For purchases of $3,000,000 or more, either made solely with redemption or termination proceeds from other unit investment trusts or in combination with other purchases as described above under “Large Quantity Purchases”, Intermediate-Term Trust Units will be eligible for the applicable quantity discount level presented in the table that appears in that subsection. For aggregated purchases described above in the “Large Quantity Purchases” subsection that consist of any units other than Intermediate-Term Trust Units, please refer to the prospectus of the applicable Invesco-sponsored unit investment trust for information regarding the specified sales charge reductions on those units. In order to be eligible for the sales charge discounts applicable to Unit purchases made with redemption or termination proceeds from other unit investment trusts, the trade date of each rollover or redemption, or each other trust’s termination date resulting in the receipt of such proceeds used to purchase Units of the Trust must all be derived from transactions that occurred within 30 calendar days prior to your Unit purchase. In addition, the discounts will only be available for investors that utilize the same broker-dealer (or a different broker-dealer with appropriate notification) for both the Unit purchase and the transaction resulting in the receipt of the termination or redemption proceeds used for the Unit purchase. You may be required to provide appropriate documentation or other information to your broker-dealer to evidence your eligibility for these reduced sales charge discounts. An exchange does not avoid a taxable event on the redemption or termination of an interest in a Trust.

Employees. Employees, officers and directors (including their spouses (or the equivalent if recognized under local law) and children or step-children under 21 living in the same household, parents or step-parents and trustees, custodians or fiduciaries for the benefit of such persons (collectively referred to herein as “related purchasers”)) of Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. and its affiliates and, when permitted, Underwriters and their affiliates may purchase Units at the Public Offering Price less the applicable underwriting commission or less the applicable dealer concession in the absence of an underwriting commission. Employees, officers and directors (including related purchasers) of dealers and their affiliates may purchase Units at the Public Offering Price less the applicable dealer concession. All employee discounts are subject to the policies of the related selling firm. Only employees, officers and directors of companies that allow their employees to participate in this employee discount program are eligible for the discounts.

Unit Price. The Public Offering Price of Units will vary from the amounts stated under “Summary of Essential Financial Information” in accordance with fluctuations in the prices of the bonds. The price of Units as of the opening of business on the Date of Deposit was determined by adding the applicable sales charge and organization costs to the aggregate offering price of the bonds and dividing the sum by the number of Units outstanding. This price determination was made on the basis of an evaluation of the bonds prepared by the Evaluator. During the initial offering period, the Evaluator will value the bonds as of the Evaluation Time on days the New York Stock Exchange is open for business and will adjust the Public Offering Price of Units accordingly. The “Evaluation Time” is the close of trading on the New
York Stock Exchange on each day that the Exchange is open for regular trading, or earlier on days where the Bond Market Association recommends an early bond market close, provided, however, on the Date of Deposit the Evaluation Time will be the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange or the time the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) becomes effective, if later. The secondary market Public Offering Price per Unit will be equal to the aggregate bid price of the bonds plus the applicable secondary market sales charge and dividing the sum by the number of Units outstanding. For secondary market purposes, this computation will be made by the Evaluator as of the Evaluation Time for each day on which any Unit is tendered for redemption and as necessary. The offering price of bonds may be expected to range approximately from 0.125% to 1.375% more than the bid price. The Public Offering Price per Unit will be effective for all orders received prior to the Evaluation Time on each business day. Orders received by the Sponsor prior to the Evaluation Time and orders received by authorized financial professionals prior to the Evaluation Time that are properly transmitted to the Sponsor by the time designated by the Sponsor, are priced based on the date of receipt. Orders received by the Sponsor after the Evaluation Time, and orders received by authorized financial professionals after the Evaluation Time or orders received by such persons that are not transmitted to the Sponsor until after the time designated by the Sponsor, are priced based on the date of the next determined Public Offering Price per Unit provided they are received timely by the Sponsor on such date. It is the responsibility of authorized financial professionals to transmit orders received by them to the Sponsor so they will be received in a timely manner.

The aggregate price of the bonds is determined on the basis of the appropriate bid prices or offering prices, as described herein, (a) on the basis of current market prices obtained from dealers or brokers who customarily deal in bonds comparable to those held by your Trust; (b) if these prices are not available, on the basis of current market prices for comparable bonds; (c) by causing the value of the bonds to be determined by others engaged in the practice of evaluation, quoting or appraising comparable bonds; or (d) by any combination of the above. Market prices of the bonds will generally fluctuate with changes in market interest rates.

A person will become the owner of Units on the date of settlement provided payment has been received. Cash, if any, made available to the Sponsor prior to the date of settlement for the purchase of Units may be used in the Sponsor's business and may be deemed to be a benefit to the Sponsor, subject to the limitations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Organization Costs. During the initial offering period, part of the Public Offering Price represents an amount of cash deposited to pay the estimated costs incurred in establishing your Trust. These costs include the costs of preparing documents relating to the Trust (such as the registration statement, prospectus, trust agreement and legal documents), federal and state registration fees, the initial fees and expenses of the Trustee and the initial audit. Your Trust will reimburse us for these costs at the end of the initial offering period or after six months, if earlier. The value of your Units will decline when the Trust deducts these costs from the Trust assets.

Accrued Interest. Accrued interest is an accumulation of unpaid interest on securities which generally is paid by the bonds semi-annually, although your Trust accrues interest daily. Because of this, your Trust always has an amount of interest earned but not yet collected by the Trustee. For this reason, with respect to sales settling after the First Settlement Date, the proportionate share of accrued interest to the settlement date is added to the Public Offering Price of Units. You will receive the amount of accrued interest paid on your Units on the next distribution date. In an effort to reduce the accrued interest which would have to be paid by Unitholders, the Trustee will advance the amount of accrued interest to the Sponsor as the Unitholder of record as of the First Settlement Date. Consequently, the accrued interest added to the Public Offering Price of Units will include only accrued interest from the First Settlement Date to the date of settlement, less any distributions from the Interest Account after the First Settlement Date. Because of the varying interest payment dates of the bonds, accrued interest at any point in time will be greater than the amount of interest actually received by your Trust and distributed to Unitholders. If you sell or redeem all or a portion of your Units, you will be entitled to receive your proportionate share of the accrued interest from the purchaser of your Units.
**Unit Distribution.** Units will be distributed to the public by Underwriters, broker-dealers and others at the Public Offering Price, plus accrued interest. The Sponsor intends to qualify Units for sale in a number of states.

**Intermediate-Term Trust Concessions.** During the initial offering period, the Sponsor and Underwriters will sell Units of Intermediate-Term Trusts to non-Underwriter broker-dealers and selling agents at the Public Offering Price (net of any sales charge discount) less the applicable gross concession or agency commission set forth in the “Broker-Dealer Concession or Agency Commission” column of the table under “Reducing Your Sales Charge – Large Quantity Purchases”. These breakpoints will be adjusted to take into consideration purchase orders stated in dollars which cannot be completely fulfilled due to the requirement that only whole Units be issued.

For initial offering period transactions involving unitholders of other unit investment trusts who use their redemption or termination proceeds to purchase Units of an Intermediate-Term Trust, the regular concession or agency commission allowed by the Sponsor to broker-dealers and other selling agents will amount to 1.30% for aggregated purchases of less than $3,000,000. For such aggregated purchases of $3,000,000 or more, the regular concession or agency commission will correspond to the amounts listed in the “Broker-Dealer Concession or Agency Commission” column of the table under “Reducing Your Sales Charge – Large Quantity Purchases”.

Underwriters other than the Sponsor will sell Units to other broker-dealers and selling agents at the Public Offering Price less a concession or agency commission not in excess of the maximum concession allowed to Underwriters by the Sponsor as described under “Sponsor and Underwriter Compensation” below. Further, Qualifying Broker-Dealers not receiving the maximum concession amount per Unit on the Date of Deposit may be eligible to receive an additional per Unit concession based upon the aggregate number of Trust Units purchased from the Sponsor as of the end of the initial offering period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aggregate Number of Units Purchased from the Sponsor</th>
<th>Additional Concession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250 - 999 Units</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 Units - 2,999 Units</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000 Units or more</td>
<td>0.25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notwithstanding the concession amounts presented in the “Broker-Dealer Concession or Agency Commission” column of the table under “Reducing Your Sales Charge – Large Quantity Purchases”, broker-dealers and other selling agents who have not acted as Underwriters for the Trust that purchase 250 or more Units of a Trust from the Sponsor on the Date of Deposit (“Qualifying Broker-Dealers”) will be allowed a concession or agency commission on all Units of a Trust purchased from the Sponsor throughout the initial offering period equal to the regular concession allowed to Underwriters described under “Sponsor and Underwriter Compensation” below. Further, Qualifying Broker-Dealers having purchased less than 1,000 Units of the Trust from the Sponsor on the Date of Deposit will be entitled to an additional concession of 0.10% if the aggregate number of Trust Units purchased from the Sponsor as of the end of the initial offering period totals at least 1,000 Units but less than 3,000 Units, or an additional concession of 0.15% for totals of at least 3,000 Units.

Qualifying Broker Dealers having purchased at least 1,000 Units but less than 3,000 Units of the Trust from the Sponsor on the Date of Deposit will be entitled to an additional concession of 0.05% if the aggregate number of Trust Units purchased from the Sponsor as of the end of the initial offering period totals at least 3,000 Units.

Regarding the additional per Unit concessions described above for both Qualifying Broker Dealers and for other broker-dealers or selling agents, these additional concessions will also be applied on a dollar basis utilizing an equivalent of $1,000 per Unit and will be applied on whichever basis is more favorable to the dealer firm or selling agent. Purchase orders stated in dollars which cannot be completely fulfilled due to the requirement that only whole Units be
issued will be subject to the concession amount corresponding to the dollar amount of the purchase order, utilizing a $1,000 per Unit equivalent.

**Concessions Based Upon Annual Sales.** As described below, broker-dealers and other selling agents may in certain cases be eligible for additional concessions based upon their annual eligible sales of all Invesco fixed income and equity unit investment trusts. Eligible sales include all units of any Invesco unit investment trust underwritten or purchased directly from Invesco during a trust’s initial offering period. For purposes of the Volume Concession described below, trusts designated as either “Invesco Unit Trusts, Taxable Income Series” or “Invesco Unit Trusts, Municipal Series” are fixed income trusts, and trusts designated as “Invesco Unit Trusts Series” are equity trusts.

**Volume Concession—** In addition to the concessions or agency commissions described above, for sales occurring on or after July 1, 2013, all broker-dealers and other selling firms (including Underwriters) will be eligible to receive additional compensation based on total initial offering period sales of all eligible Invesco unit investment trusts during the previous consecutive 12-month period through the end of the most recent month. The Volume Concession, as applicable to equity and fixed income trust units, is set forth in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Sales (in millions)</th>
<th>Volume Concession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equity Trust Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25 but less than $100</td>
<td>0.050%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100 but less than $150</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150 but less than $250</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250 but less than $500</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500 but less than $750</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$750 but less than $1,000</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,000 but less than $1,500</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,500 but less than $2,000</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 but less than $3,000</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000 but less than $4,000</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4,000 but less than $5,000</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000 or more</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Broker-dealers and other selling firms will not receive the Volume Concession on the sale of units purchased in Fee Accounts, however, such sales will be included in determining whether a firm has met the sales level breakpoints set forth in the Volume Concession table above. Secondary market sales of all unit investment trusts are excluded for purposes of the Volume Concession. Eligible dealer firms and other selling agents include clearing firms that place orders with Invesco and provide Invesco with information with respect to the representatives who initiated such transactions. Eligible dealer firms and other selling agents will not include firms that solely provide clearing services to other broker-dealer firms or firms who place orders through clearing firms that are eligible dealers. We reserve the right to change the amount of the concessions or agency commissions from time to time. For a trust to be eligible for this additional compensation, the trust’s prospectus must include disclosure related to this additional compensation.

**Additional Volume Concession Eligibility—** Underwriters, broker-dealers or other selling firms having achieved $500 million in total eligible sales for the previous 12 month period through the end of the most recent month are eligible to receive an additional volume concession for each Trust Unit underwritten or purchased directly from the Sponsor on the initial Date of Deposit equal to the difference between the maximum allowable concession described under “Sponsor and Underwriter Compensation” and the per Unit concession applicable to each Trust Unit underwritten or purchased. Only Units acquired on the Date of Deposit may qualify for this additional per Unit volume concession, and Unit acquisitions made on subsequent days will be subject to the applicable levels as stated in the “Unit Distribution” and “Sponsor and Underwriter Compensation” sections. This additional per Unit volume concession will be payable either at the time the Units are acquired or following the end of the initial offering period, at the election of the recipient. With respect to any Units for which an Underwriter, broker-dealer or other selling firm receives this additional per Unit volume concession, such Units will not be eligible for additional per Unit concessions described under “Intermediate-Term Trust Concessions.”

**Additional Information.** Certain commercial banks may be making Units available to their customers on an agency basis. A portion of the sales charge paid by these customers (equal to the agency commission referred to above) is retained by or remitted to the banks. Any discount provided to investors will be borne by the selling dealer or agent. For secondary
market transactions, the Sponsor will sell Units to broker-dealers and selling agents at the Public Offering Price less a concession or agency commission of 80% of the applicable sales charge. Dealers other than the Sponsor may sell Units in the secondary market to other broker-dealers and selling agents at the Public Offering Price less a concession or agency commission not in excess of the secondary market concession allowed to the dealer. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, in no case shall the total of any concessions, agency commissions and any additional compensation allowed or paid to any broker, dealer or other distributor of Units with respect to any individual transaction exceed the maximum sales charge applicable to Intermediate-Term Trusts. The Sponsor reserves the right to reject, in whole or in part, any order for the purchase of Units and to change the amount of the concession or agency commission to dealers and others from time to time.

**Sponsor and Underwriter Compensation.** The Sponsor will sell Units to Underwriters at the regular Public Offering Price per Unit less a gross concession described in the sections below. For a list of the Underwriters that have purchased Units from the Sponsor, see “Underwriting”.

The Sponsor will sell Units of Intermediate-Term Trusts to Underwriters at the regular Public Offering Price per Unit less the concession per Unit underwritten set forth in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Underwriter Concession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>250 - 999 Units</td>
<td>2.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 Units - 2,999 Units</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000 Units or more</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An Underwriter will be allowed a concession throughout the initial offering period of a Trust equal to the Underwriter concession allowed to such firm on the Date of Deposit for Units subsequently purchased from the Sponsor. At the end of the initial offering period, an Underwriter not receiving the maximum concession amount per Unit on the Date of Deposit may be eligible to receive an additional per Unit concession based upon the aggregate number of Trust Units underwritten and subsequently purchased from the Sponsor as of the end of the initial offering period. Underwriters having underwritten less than 1,000 Units of the Trust will be entitled to an additional concession of 0.10% if the aggregate number of Trust Units underwritten and subsequently purchased from the Sponsor as of the end of the initial offering period totals at least 1,000 Units but less than 3,000 Units, or an additional concession of 0.15% for totals of at least 3,000 Units. Underwriters having underwritten at least 1,000 Units but less than 3,000 Units of the Trust will be entitled to an additional concession of 0.05% if the aggregate number of Trust Units underwritten and subsequently purchased from the Sponsor as of the end of the initial offering period totals at least 3,000 Units.

The concessions for a) Units underwritten on the Date of Deposit, b) Units purchased from the Sponsor by an Underwriter subsequent to the Date of Deposit during a Trust’s initial offering period, and c) the additional per Unit concession for Underwriters will each also be applied on a dollar basis utilizing an equivalent of $1,000 per Unit and will be applied on whichever basis is more favorable to the Underwriter. Purchase orders stated in dollars which cannot be completely fulfilled due to the requirement that only whole Units be issued will be subject to the concession amount corresponding to the stated dollar amount of the purchase order, utilizing a $1,000 per Unit equivalent.

Any Underwriter which has achieved $500 million in total eligible sales for the previous 12 month period through the end of the most recent month will be eligible to receive an additional per Unit volume concession as described under “Unit Distribution—Concessions Based Upon Annual Sales—Additional Volume Concession Eligibility”.

In connection with Underwriter sales of Units to non-Underwriter broker-dealers and other selling agents which Units in turn are sold to investors in sufficient size to qualify for quantity discounts, in certain instances, the sum of the sales charge discount and the broker-dealer concession may exceed the concession allowed to the Underwriter. At the request of the Underwriter, the Sponsor may repurchase such Units at the Public Offering Price per Unit less the concession amount allowed to the Underwriter during the Trust’s initial offering period, and subsequently resell those Units back to the Underwriter at the Public Offering Price per Unit less the applicable sales charge reduction per Unit and broker-dealer concession amounts listed in the table.
found under “Public Offering—Reducing Your Sales Charge—Large Quantity Purchases”.

In addition, the Sponsor and certain Underwriters will realize a profit or loss, as a result of the difference between the price paid for the bonds by the Sponsor and the cost of the bonds to a Trust. See “Portfolio” and “Notes to Portfolio”. The Sponsor and the Underwriters may also realize profits or losses with respect to bonds which were acquired by the Sponsor from underwriting syndicates of which they were members. The Sponsor has not participated as sole underwriter or as manager or as a member of the underwriting syndicates from which the bonds were acquired. Underwriters may further realize profit or loss during the initial offering period as a result of possible fluctuations in the market value of the bonds since all proceeds received from purchasers of Units (excluding dealer concessions or agency commissions allowed, if any) will be retained by the Underwriters. Affiliates of an Underwriter are entitled to the same dealer concessions or agency commissions that are available to the Underwriter. In addition to any other benefits Underwriters may realize from the sale of Units, the Sponsor will share with certain Underwriters a portion of any gain represented by the difference between the cost of the bonds to the Sponsor and the evaluation of the bonds on the Date of Deposit (less deductions for accrued interest and certain costs). For Underwriters who either (a) underwrite at least 3,000 Units or (b) submit an Underwriter purchase order of at least $3,000,000, the Sponsor will share 50% of that portion of the gain that relates to the Units actually underwritten by such Underwriters. For those Underwriters who either (a) underwrite at least 1,000 Units but less than 3,000 Units or (b) submit an Underwriter purchase order of at least $1,000,000 but less than $3,000,000, the Sponsor will share 25% of that portion of the gain that relates to the Units actually underwritten by such Underwriters. The Sponsor and certain of the other Underwriters will also realize profits or losses in the amount of any difference between the price at which Units are purchased and the price at which Units are resold in connection with maintaining a secondary market for Units and will also realize profits or losses resulting from a redemption of repurchased Units at a price above or below the purchase price.

We may provide, at our own expense and out of our own profits, additional compensation and benefits to broker-dealers who sell Units of the Trust and our other products. This compensation is intended to result in additional sales of our products and/or compensate broker-dealers and financial advisors for past sales. We may make these payments for marketing, promotional or related expenses, including, but not limited to, expenses of entertaining retail customers and financial advisors, advertising, sponsorship of events or seminars, obtaining shelf space in broker-dealer firms and similar activities designed to promote the sale of the Trust and our other products. Fees may include payment for travel expenses, including lodging, incurred in connection with trips taken by invited registered representatives for meetings or seminars of a business nature. These arrangements will not change the price you pay for your Units.

**Market for Units.** Although not obligated to do so, the Sponsor intends to, and certain of the other Underwriters may, maintain a market for Units and offer to purchase Units at prices, subject to change at any time, based upon the aggregate bid prices of the bonds plus accrued interest and any principal cash on hand, less any amounts representing taxes or other governmental charges payable out of your Trust and less any accrued Trust expenses. If the supply of Units exceeds demand or if some other business reason warrants it, the Sponsor and/or the Underwriters may either discontinue all purchases of Units or discontinue purchases of Units at these prices. If a market is not maintained and the Unitholder cannot find another purchaser, a Unitholder will be able to dispose of Units by tendering them to the Trustee for redemption at the Redemption Price. See “Rights of Unitholders—Redemption of Units”. A Unitholder who wishes to dispose of his Units should inquire of his broker as to current market prices in order to determine whether there is any price in excess of the Redemption Price and, if so, the amount thereof. The Trustee will notify the Sponsor of any tender of Units for redemption. If the Sponsor's bid in the secondary market at that time equals or exceeds the Redemption Price per Unit, it may purchase the Units not later than the day on which the Units would otherwise have been redeemed by the Trustee.

**FEE ACCOUNTS**

As described above, Units may be available for purchase by investors in Fee Accounts where the Trust is Wrap Fee Eligible. You should consult your
financial professional to determine whether you can benefit from these accounts. For these purchases you generally only pay the portion of the sales charge that is retained by your Trust’s Sponsor, Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. You should consult the “Public Offering--Reducing Your Sales Charge” section for specific information on this and other sales charge discounts. That section governs the calculation of all sales charge discounts. The Sponsor reserves the right to limit or deny purchases of Units in Fee Accounts by investors or selling firms whose frequent trading activity is determined to be detrimental to a Trust.

**RIGHTS OF UNITHOLDERS**

**Distributions of Interest and Principal.** Interest received by a Trust, pro rated on an annual basis, will be distributed monthly. The amount and time of the first distribution is described under “Summary of Essential Financial Information”. In addition, a Trust that has elected to be structured as a “regulated investment company” for federal tax purposes may make additional required distributions at the end of each year.

Interest received by a Trust, including that part of the proceeds of any disposition of bonds which represents accrued interest, is credited by the Trustee to the Interest Account. Other receipts are credited to the Principal Account. After deduction of amounts sufficient to reimburse the Trustee, without interest, for any amounts advanced and paid to the Sponsor as the Unitholder of record as of the First Settlement Date, interest received will be distributed on each distribution date to Unitholders of record as of the preceding record date. All distributions will be net of estimated expenses. The Trustee is not required to pay interest on funds held in the Principal or Interest Account (but may itself earn interest thereon and therefore benefits from the use of these funds). Should the amount available for distribution in the Principal Account equal or exceed $5.00 per Unit, the Trustee will make a distribution from the Principal Account on the next monthly distribution date to Unitholders of record on the related monthly record date. However, funds in the Principal Account will be distributed on the last distribution date of each calendar year to Unitholders of record as of the preceding record date if the amount available for distribution shall equal at least $1.00 per Unit.

Because interest payments are not received by a Trust at a constant rate throughout the year, interest distributions may be more or less than the amount credited to the Interest Account as of the record date. For the purpose of minimizing fluctuations in interest distributions, the Trustee is authorized to advance amounts necessary to provide interest distributions of approximately equal amounts. The Trustee is reimbursed for these advances from funds in the Interest Account on the next record date. Persons who purchase Units between a record date and a distribution date will receive their first distribution on the second distribution date after the purchase.

**Redemption of Units.** All or a portion of your Units may be tendered to The Bank of New York Mellon, the Trustee, for redemption at Unit Investment Trust Division, 111 Sanders Creek Parkway, East Syracuse, New York 13057, on any day the New York Stock Exchange is open. No redemption fee will be charged by the Sponsor or the Trustee, but you are responsible for applicable governmental charges, if any. Units redeemed by the Trustee will be canceled. You may redeem all or a portion of your Units by sending a request for redemption to your bank or broker-dealer through which you hold your Units. No later than three business days following satisfactory tender, the Unitholder will receive an amount for each Unit equal to the Redemption Price per Unit next computed after receipt by the Trustee of the tender of Units. The “date of tender” is deemed to be the date on which Units are received by the Trustee, except that as regards Units received after the Evaluation Time on days of trading on the New York Stock Exchange, the date of tender is the next day on which that Exchange is open and the Units will be deemed to have been tendered to the Trustee on that day for redemption at the Redemption Price. Redemption requests received by authorized financial professionals prior to the Evaluation Time that are properly transmitted to the Trustee by the time designated by the Trustee, are priced based on the date of receipt. Redemption requests received by the Trustee after the Evaluation Time, and redemption requests received by authorized financial professionals after the Evaluation Time or redemption requests received by such persons that are not transmitted to the Trustee until after the time designated by the Trustee, are priced based on the date of the next determined redemption price provided they are received timely by the Trustee on
such date. It is the responsibility of authorized financial professionals to transmit redemption requests received by them to the Trustee so they will be received in a timely manner. Certain broker-dealers or selling firms may charge an order handling fee for processing redemption requests. Units redeemed directly through the Trustee are not subject to such fees.

Under Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) regulations, the Trustee is required to withhold a specified percentage of a Unit redemption if the Trustee has not received the Unitholder’s tax identification number as required by such regulations or if the IRS notifies the Trustee that such withholding is required. Any amount withheld is transmitted to the IRS and may be recovered by the Unitholder only when filing a return or a claim for refund. Under normal circumstances the Trustee obtains the Unitholder’s tax identification number from the selling broker. However, at any time a Unitholder elects to tender Units for redemption, the Unitholder should provide a tax identification number to the Trustee in order to avoid this possible “backup withholding”.

The Redemption Price per Unit (as well as the secondary market Public Offering Price) will be determined on the basis of the bid price of the bonds as of the Evaluation Time on days of trading on the New York Stock Exchange on the date any such determination is made. The Evaluator determines the Redemption Price per Unit on days Units are tendered for redemption. The Redemption Price per Unit is the pro rata share of each Unit on the basis of (i) the cash on hand in a Trust or moneys in the process of being collected, (ii) the value of the bonds based on the bid prices of the bonds, (iii) accrued interest, less (a) amounts representing taxes or other governmental charges and (b) the accrued Trust expenses. During the initial offering period, the Redemption Price and secondary market repurchase price are not reduced by estimated organization costs. The Evaluator may determine the value of the bonds by employing any of the methods set forth in “Public Offering--Unit Price”. Accrued interest paid on redemption shall be withdrawn from the Interest Account or, if the balance therein is insufficient, from the Principal Account. All other amounts will be withdrawn from the Principal Account. Units so redeemed shall be cancelled.

The right of redemption may be suspended and payment postponed for any period during which the New York Stock Exchange is closed, other than for customary weekend and holiday closings, or during which the SEC determines that trading on that Exchange is restricted or an emergency exists, as a result of which disposal or evaluation of the bonds is not reasonably practicable, or for other periods as the SEC may by order permit. Under certain extreme circumstances the Sponsor may apply to the SEC for an order permitting a full or partial suspension of the right of Unitholders to redeem their Units.

Exchange Option. When you redeem Units of your Trust or when your Trust terminates, you may be able to exchange your Units for units of other Invesco unit trusts at a reduced sales charge. An exchange does not avoid a taxable disposition of your redeemed Units. You should contact your financial professional for more information about trusts currently available for exchanges. Before you exchange Units, you should read the prospectus of the new trust carefully and understand the risks and fees. You should then discuss this option with your financial professional to determine whether your investment goals have changed, whether current trusts suit you and to discuss tax
consequences. We may discontinue this option at any time. The exchange will generally be treated as a sale and a taxable transaction for federal and state income tax purposes.

Units. Ownership of Units is evidenced in book-entry form only and will not be evidenced by certificates. Units purchased or held through your bank or broker-dealer will be recorded in book-entry form and credited to the account of your bank or broker-dealer at the Depository Trust Company (“DTC”). Units are transferable by contacting your bank or broker-dealer through which you hold your Units. Transfer, and the requirements therefore, will be governed by the applicable procedures of DTC and your agreement with the DTC participant in whose name your Units are registered on the transfer records of DTC.

Reports Provided. Unitholders will receive a statement of interest and other receipts received for each distribution. For as long as the Sponsor deems it to be in the best interest of Unitholders, the accounts of your Trust will be audited annually by an independent registered public accounting firm and the report of the accountants will be furnished to Unitholders upon request. Within a reasonable period of time after the end of each year, the Trustee will furnish to each person who was a registered Unitholder during that year a statement describing the interest and principal received on the bonds, actual Trust distributions, Trust expenses, a list of the bonds and other Trust information. Unitholders will be furnished the Evaluator’s evaluations of the bonds upon request to the Trustee. If you have questions regarding your account or your Trust, please contact your financial adviser or the Trustee. The Sponsor does not have access to individual account information.

INSURANCE ON THE BONDS

Insurance may have been obtained prior to the deposit of certain of the bonds in your Trust guaranteeing prompt payment of interest and principal, when due, in respect of such bonds. The premium for any preinsured bond insurance has been paid by the issuer or by a prior owner of the bonds and any policy is non-cancelable and will continue in force so long as the bonds so insured are outstanding and the preinsured bond insurer remains in business. The preinsured bond insurers, if any, are described in “Portfolio” for each Trust and the notes thereto. More detailed information regarding insurance on the bonds and the preinsured bond insurers is included in the Information Supplement. See “Additional Information”.

Each insurer is subject to regulation by the department of insurance in the state in which it is qualified to do business. Such regulation, however, is no guarantee that each insurer will be able to perform on its contract of insurance in the event a claim should be made. The financial information with respect to each insurer appears in reports filed with state insurance regulatory authorities and is subject to audit and review by such authorities. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information or as to the absence of material adverse changes in such information subsequent to the dates thereof.

Bond Insurers. Any downgrade in the rating of an insurer of the bonds in the Trust may result in a downgrade in the rating of the issuer of the related bond and therefore may have a material adverse effect on the value of the bonds in the Trust and the value of your Units. The following is a description of the various bond insurers:


Ambac Assurance Corporation (“Ambac”). On November 8, 2010, Ambac Financial Group, Inc., the holding company of Ambac, announced that it has filed for a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”). Ambac Financial Group, Inc. will continue to operate in the ordinary course of business as “debtor-in-possession” under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the orders of the Bankruptcy Court.

On November 30, 2010, S&P withdrew the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of Ambac at the company’s request. The November 30, 2010 rating action followed a directive by the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin to Ambac to establish a segregated account for certain insured exposure, primarily policies related to credit derivatives, residential mortgage-backed securities, and other structured finance
transactions. On April 7, 2011, Moody’s withdrew the insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance.

Assured Guaranty Corp. (“Assured Guaranty”) and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (“Assured Municipal”) (formerly Financial Security Assurance, Inc. (“FSA”)). On July 1, 2009, Assured Guaranty Ltd. (“Assured”), the parent company of Assured Guaranty, completed the purchase of Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd., the parent of financial guaranty insurance company, FSA. Effective November 9, 2009, FSA was renamed “Assured Municipal.” In certain states, Assured Municipal may operate under its prior name. Assured Municipal, a separately capitalized company, provides municipal bond insurance, while Assured Guaranty provides financial guaranty insurance to both the municipal and structured finance sectors.

On November 30, 2011 S&P lowered the counterparty credit and financial strength ratings of both Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal to AA- from AA+, with a stable outlook. The November 30, 2011 downgrades reflect S&P’s view that Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal do not maintain enough capital to mitigate the largest obligor concentrations for a higher rating. On January 17, 2013, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of Assured Guaranty to A3 from Aa3 and of Assured Municipal to A2 from Aa3, both with a stable outlook. The January 17, 2013 downgrade reflects Moody’s reassessment of the business franchise, expected future profitability and financial flexibility of Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal.

Build America Mutual Assurance Company (“BAM”). On July 23, 2012, S&P assigned an initial rating of AA to BAM’s financial strength and counterparty credit ratings, with a stable outlook. These ratings based upon S&P’s view that BAM holds a very strong competitive position with low industry risk, along with extremely strong capital adequacy and strong operating performance.

Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. (“BHAC”). On April 8, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of BHAC from Aaa to Aa1, with a stable outlook. This downgrade reflects Moody’s view concerning “the impact on Berkshire’s key businesses of the severe decline in equity markets over the past year as well as the protracted economic recession.” On February 4, 2010, S&P lowered the financial strength rating of BHAC from AAA to AA+, with a stable outlook, reflecting S&P’s view that Berkshire’s overall capital adequacy has weakened to levels no longer consistent with a AAA rating and is not expected to return to extremely strong levels in the near term.

CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. (“CIFG”). On November 11, 2009, Moody’s announced that it will withdraw the insurance financial strength rating of CIFG. On February 16, 2010, S&P withdrew the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of CIFG.


On November 24, 2009, FGIC announced that pursuant to an order of the New York Insurance Department, the company must suspend any and all claims payments until it has removed the impairment of its capital and restored to compliance its minimum surplus to policyholders requirement.

National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation (“National Guarantee”) (formerly MBIA Insurance Corp. of Illinois (“MBIA Illinois”)). On February 18, 2009, MBIA, Inc., the parent company of MBIA Insurance Corporation (“MBIA Corp.”), announced the restructuring of its financial guaranty insurance operations following the approval of the New York and Illinois insurance regulators. The restructuring involves the segregation of its financial guaranty insurance operations into two separately capitalized sister companies, with MBIA Illinois assuming the risk associated with its US municipal exposures, and with MBIA Corp. insuring the remainder of the portfolio, including all international and structured finance exposures. Business recently ceded to MBIA Corp. from FGIC has been assigned to MBIA Illinois. On March 19, 2009, MBIA Illinois formally changed its name to National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation. National Guarantee is a wholly owned subsidiary of MBIA, Inc. and independently capitalized with $5.6 billion in claims-paying resources as of December 31, 2010. In certain states, National Guarantee may operate under its prior name.

On May 21, 2013, Moody’s upgraded National Guarantee’s insurance financial strength rating to Baa1 from Baa2, with a positive outlook. On May 10, 2013, S&P raised the counterparty credit, financial strength,
and financial enhancement ratings of National Guarantee to A from BBB, with a stable outlook.


_Syncora Guarantee Inc._ ("Syncora Guarantee") (formerly _XL Capital Assurance Inc._ ("XLCA")). On March 9, 2009, Moody's downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Syncora Guarantee from Caa1 to Ca, with a developing outlook. On July 28, 2010, S&P withdrew the counterparty credit, financial strength and financial enhancement ratings of Syncora Guarantee.

**TRUST ADMINISTRATION**

**Sponsor.** Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. is the Sponsor of your Trust. The Sponsor is a wholly owned subsidiary of Invesco Advisers, Inc. ("Invesco Advisers"). Invesco Advisers is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Invesco Ltd., a leading independent global investment manager that provides a wide range of investment strategies and vehicles to its retail, institutional and high net worth clients around the globe. The Sponsor’s principal office is located at 11 Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046-1173. As of March 31, 2014, the total stockholders’ equity of Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. was $89,026,182 (unaudited). The current assets under management and supervision by Invesco Ltd. and its affiliates were valued at approximately $787.3 billion as of March 31, 2014.

The Sponsor and your Trust have adopted a code of ethics requiring Invesco Ltd.’s employees who have access to information on Trust transactions to report personal securities transactions. The purpose of the code is to avoid potential conflicts of interest and to prevent fraud, deception or misconduct with respect to your Trust. The Information Supplement contains additional information about the Sponsor. If we fail to or cannot perform our duties under the trust agreement or become bankrupt, the Trustee may appoint a new sponsor, continue to operate your Trust without a sponsor, or terminate your Trust and distribute the liquidation proceeds.

**Trustee.** The Trustee is The Bank of New York Mellon, a trust company organized under the laws of New York. The Bank of New York Mellon has its principal unit investment trust division offices at 2 Hanson Place, 12th Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11217, telephone (800) 856-8487. If you have questions regarding your account or your Trust, please contact the Trustee at its principal unit investment trust division offices or your financial adviser. The Sponsor does not have access to individual account information. The Bank of New York Mellon is subject to supervision and examination by the Superintendent of Banks of the State of New York and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to the extent permitted by law. Additional information regarding the Trustee is set forth in the Information Supplement, including the Trustee’s qualifications and duties, its ability to resign, the effect of a merger involving the Trustee and the Sponsor’s ability to remove and replace the Trustee. See "Additional Information".

**Portfolio Administration.** Your Trust is not a managed fund and, except as provided in the Trust Agreement, bonds generally will not be sold or replaced. The Sponsor may, however, direct that bonds be sold in certain limited situations to protect your Trust based on advice from the Supervisor. These situations may include default in interest or principal payments on the bonds or other obligations of an issuer, an advanced refunding or institution of certain legal proceedings. In addition, the Trustee may sell bonds designated by the Supervisor for purposes of redeeming Units or payment of expenses. The Supervisor will consider a variety of factors in designating bonds to be sold including interest rates, market value and marketability. Except in limited circumstances, the Trustee must reject any offer by an issuer to issue bonds in exchange or substitution for the bonds (such as a refunding or refinancing plan). The Trustee will promptly notify Unitholders of any exchange or substitution. The Information Supplement contains a more detailed description of circumstances in which bonds may be sold or replaced. See “Additional Information”.

If a Trust is structured as a “regulated investment company” for federal tax purposes, the Sponsor may direct the reinvestment of proceeds of the sale of bonds if the sale is the direct result of serious adverse credit factors which, in the opinion of the Sponsor,
would make retention of the bonds detrimental to the Trust. In such a case, the Sponsor may, but is not obligated to, direct the reinvestment of sale proceeds in any other securities that meet the criteria for inclusion in the trust on the Date of Deposit. The Sponsor may also instruct the Trustee to take action necessary to ensure that such a Trust continues to satisfy the qualifications of a regulated investment company and to avoid imposition of tax on undistributed income of the Trust.

**Replacement Bonds.** No assurance can be given that a Trust will retain its present size or composition because bonds may be sold, redeemed or mature from time to time and the proceeds will be distributed to Unitholders and will not be reinvested. In the event of a failure to deliver any bond that has been purchased under a contract (“Failed Bonds”), the Sponsor is authorized under the Trust Agreement to direct the Trustee to acquire other bonds (“Replacement Bonds”) to make up the original portfolio of a Trust. Replacement Bonds must be purchased within 20 days after delivery of the notice of the failed contract and the purchase price (exclusive of accrued interest) may not exceed the amount of funds reserved for the purchase of the Failed Bonds. The Replacement Bonds must (i) be intermediate-term bonds, debentures, notes or other straight debt obligations (whether secured or unsecured and whether senior or subordinated) without equity or other conversion features, with fixed maturity dates substantially the same as those of the Failed Bonds having no warrants or subscription privileges attached; (ii) be payable in United States currency; (iii) not be when, as and if issued obligations or restricted securities; and (iv) be issued or guaranteed by an issuer subject to or exempt from the reporting requirements under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (or similar provisions of law) or guaranteed, directly or indirectly, by means of a lease agreement, agreement to buy securities, services or products, or other similar commitment of the credit of such an issuer to the payment of the substitute bonds. The Trustee shall notify all Unitholders of a Trust within five days after the acquisition of a Replacement Bond and shall make a pro rata distribution of the amount, if any, by which the cost of the Failed Bond exceeded the cost of the Replacement Bond plus accrued interest. If Failed Bonds are not replaced, the Sponsor will refund the sales charge attributable to the Failed Bonds to all Unitholders of a Trust and distribute the principal and accrued interest (at the coupon rate of the Failed Bonds to the date of removal from the Trust) attributable to the Failed Bonds within 30 calendar days after removal. If Failed Bonds are not replaced, the Estimated Net Annual Interest Income per Unit would be reduced and the Estimated Current Return and Estimated Long-Term Return might be lowered. Unitholders may not be able to reinvest their proceeds in other securities at a yield equal to or in excess of the yield of the Failed Bonds.

**Amendment of Trust Agreement.** The Sponsor and the Trustee may amend the Trust Agreement without the consent of Unitholders to correct any provision which may be defective or to make other provisions that will not materially adversely affect the interest of the Unitholders (as determined in good faith by the Sponsor and the Trustee). The Trust Agreement may not be amended to increase the number of Units or to permit the acquisition of bonds in addition to or in substitution for any of the bonds initially deposited in a Trust, except for the substitution of certain refunding bonds. The Trustee will notify Unitholders of any amendment.

**Termination of Trust Agreement.** A Trust will terminate upon the redemption, sale or other disposition of the last bond held in the Trust. A Trust may also be terminated at any time by consent of Unitholders of 75% of the Units then outstanding or by the Trustee when the value of the Trust is less than 20% of the original principal amount of bonds. A Trust will be liquidated by the Trustee in the event that a sufficient number of Units of the Trust not yet sold are tendered for redemption by the Sponsor, so that the net worth of the Trust would be reduced to less than 40% of the value of the Securities at the time they were deposited in the Trust. The Trustee will notify each Unitholder of any termination within a reasonable time and will then liquidate any remaining bonds. The sale of bonds upon termination may result in a lower amount than might otherwise be realized if the sale was not required at that time. For this reason, among others, the amount realized by a Unitholder upon termination may be less than the principal amount of bonds per Unit or value at the time of purchase. The Trustee will distribute to each Unitholder his share of the balance of the Interest and Principal Accounts after deduction of costs, expenses or indemnities. The Unitholder will receive a final distribution statement with
this distribution. When the Trustee in its sole discretion determines that any amounts held in reserve are no longer necessary, it will distribute these amounts to Unitholders. The Information Supplement contains further information regarding termination of a Trust. See “Additional Information”.

**Limitation on Liabilities.** The Sponsor, Supervisor, Evaluator and Trustee shall be under no liability to Unitholders for taking any action or for refraining from taking any action in good faith pursuant to the Trust Agreement, or for errors in judgment, but shall be liable only for their own willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence (negligence in the case of the Trustee) in the performance of their duties or by reason of their reckless disregard of their obligations and duties hereunder. The Trustee shall not be liable for depreciation or loss incurred by reason of the sale by the Trustee of any of the bonds. In the event of the failure of the Sponsor to act under the Trust Agreement, the Trustee may act thereunder and shall not be liable for any action taken by it in good faith under the Trust Agreement. The Trustee is not liable for any taxes or governmental charges imposed on the bonds, on it as Trustee under the Trust Agreement or on a Trust which the Trustee may be required to pay under any present or future law of the United States of America or of any other taxing authority having jurisdiction. In addition, the Trust Agreement contains other customary provisions limiting the liability of the Trustee. The Trustee and Sponsor may rely on any evaluation furnished by the Evaluator and have no responsibility for the accuracy thereof. Determinations by the Evaluator shall be made in good faith upon the basis of the best information available to it; provided, however, that the Evaluator shall be under no liability to the Trustee, Sponsor or Unitholders for errors in judgment.

**FEDERAL TAX STATUS**

This section summarizes some of the principal U.S. federal income tax consequences of owning Units of a Trust as of the date of this prospectus. Tax laws and interpretations are subject to change, and this summary does not describe all of the tax consequences to all taxpayers. For example, except as specifically provided below, this summary generally does not describe your situation if you are a corporation, a non-U.S. person, a broker/dealer, a tax-exempt entity, financial institution, person who marks to market their Units or other investor with special circumstances. In addition, this section does not describe your alternative minimum, state, local or foreign tax consequences. Depending on the terms of certain bond issuances, however, some of the bonds in the Trust may be exempt from state and local taxes of the state in which such bonds were issued. Please consult with your tax advisor with respect to any specific state or local tax consequences.

This federal income tax summary is based in part on the advice of counsel to the Sponsor. The IRS could disagree with any conclusions set forth in this section. In addition, our counsel was not asked to review the federal income tax treatment of the assets to be deposited in the Trust. Additional information on taxes is contained in the Information Supplement.

As with any investment, you should seek advice based on your individual circumstances from your own tax advisor.

**Trust Status.** The Trust intends to elect and to qualify annually as a “regulated investment company” under the federal tax laws. If the Trust qualifies as a regulated investment company and distributes its income as provided in the tax law, the Trust generally will not pay federal income taxes.

**Distributions.** Trust distributions are generally taxable to you. After the end of each year, you will receive a tax statement that specifies your amount of ordinary income distributions and capital gains dividends.

Ordinary income distributions are generally taxed at your ordinary tax rate. Generally, you will treat all capital gains dividends as long-term capital gains regardless of how long you have owned your shares. In addition, the Trust may make distributions that represent a return of capital for tax purposes and thus will generally not be taxable to you. The tax status of your distributions from your Trust is not affected by whether you reinvest your distributions in additional shares or receive them in cash. The income from your Trust that you must take into account for federal income tax purposes is not reduced by amounts used to pay a deferred sales charge, if any. The tax laws may require you to treat certain distributions made to
you in January as if you had received them on December 31 of the previous year.

A distribution paid by your Trust reduces the Trust’s net asset value per Unit on the date paid by the amount of the distribution. Accordingly, a distribution paid shortly after a purchase of Units by a Unitholder would be subject to income tax even though it may be viewed, in substance, as a partial return of capital.

**Dividends Received Deduction and Qualified Dividend Income.** A corporation that owns Units generally will not be entitled to the dividends received deduction with respect to dividends received from the Trust because the dividends received deduction is generally not available for distributions from regulated investment companies that do not invest in stock. An individual that owns Units generally will not be entitled to treat Trust distributions as qualified dividend income currently taxed at long-term capital gains rates, as it is not expected that Trust distributions will be attributable to qualified dividend income received by the Trust.

**Sale or Redemption of Units.** If you sell or redeem your Units, you will generally recognize a taxable gain or loss. To determine the amount of this gain or loss, you must subtract your adjusted tax basis in your Units from the amount you receive in the transaction. Your initial tax basis in your Units is generally equal to the cost of your Units, generally including sales charges. In some cases, however, you may have to adjust your tax basis after you purchase your Units.

**Capital Gains and Losses.** If you are an individual, the maximum marginal federal tax rate for net capital gain under current law is generally 20%. Taxpayers whose marginal ordinary income bracket is below 25% are generally not subject to tax on their net capital gains. Other rates of tax on net capital gains apply to taxpayers whose marginal ordinary income bracket is 25% or higher.

Net capital gain equals net long-term capital gain minus net short-term capital loss for the taxable year. Capital gain or loss is long term if the holding period for the asset is more than one year and is short-term if the holding period for the asset is one year or less. You must exclude the date you purchase your Units to determine your holding period. However, if you receive a capital gain dividend from your Trust and sell your Unit at a loss after holding it for six months or less, the loss will be recharacterized as long-term capital loss to the extent of the capital gain dividend received. The tax rates for capital gains realized from assets held for one year or less are generally the same as for ordinary income. The Internal Revenue Code treats certain capital gains as ordinary income in special situations.

**Exchanges.** If you elect to have your proceeds from your Trust rolled over into a future series of the Trust, the exchange would generally be considered a sale and a taxable transaction for federal income tax purposes. In general, any gain on the sale will be treated as capital gain and any loss will be treated as capital loss. However, any loss realized on a sale or exchange will be disallowed to the extent that Units disposed of are replaced within a period of 61 days beginning 30 days before and ending 30 days after the disposition of Units or to the extent that the Unitholder, during such period, acquires or enters into an option or contract to acquire substantially identical stock or securities. In such a case, the basis of the Units acquired will be adjusted to reflect the disallowed loss.

**In-Kind Distributions.** Under certain circumstances, as described in this prospectus, you may receive an in-kind distribution of Trust Assets when you redeem your Units. In general, this distribution will be treated as a sale for federal income tax purposes and you will recognize gain or loss, based on the value at that time of the securities and the amount of cash received. The IRS could however assert that a loss may not be currently deducted.

**Deductibility of Trust Expenses.** Expenses incurred and deducted by your Trust will generally not be treated as income taxable to you. In some cases, however, you may be required to treat your portion of these Trust expenses as income. In these cases you may be able to take a deduction for these expenses. However, certain miscellaneous itemized deductions, such as investment expenses, may be deducted by individuals only to the extent that all of these deductions exceed 2% of the individual’s adjusted gross income. Such deductions may be subject to limitation for taxpayers whose income exceeds certain levels.

**Foreign Investors.** If you are a foreign investor (i.e., an investor other than a U.S. citizen or resident or a U.S. corporation, partnership, estate or trust), you should be aware that, generally, subject to applicable tax treaties, distributions from the Trust will be characterized as dividends for federal income tax
purposes (other than dividends which the Trust reports as capital gain dividends) and will generally be subject to U.S. income taxes, including withholding taxes, subject to certain exceptions. However, distributions received by a foreign investor from the Trust that are properly reported by the Trust as capital gain dividends may not be subject to U.S. federal income taxes, including withholding taxes, provided that the Trust makes certain elections and certain other conditions are met. Distributions received by a foreign investor attributable to interest-related dividends of a regulated investment company such as the Trust may not be subject to U.S. federal income tax withholding. The amount of distributions that may be reported as interest-related dividends will be limited to the amount of qualified net interest income, which is generally the Trust’s U.S.-source interest income less allocable expenses.

The Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (“FATCA”). A 30% withholding tax on your Trust’s distributions, including capital gains distributions, and on gross proceeds from the sale or other disposition of Units generally applies if paid to a foreign entity unless: (i) if the foreign entity is a “foreign financial institution” as defined under FATCA, the foreign entity undertakes certain due diligence, reporting, withholding, and certification obligations, (ii) if the foreign entity is not a “foreign financial institution,” it identifies certain of its U.S. investors or (iii) the foreign entity is otherwise excepted under FATCA. Withholding under FATCA is required: (i) with respect to certain distributions from your Trust beginning on July 1, 2014; and (ii) with respect to certain capital gains distributions and gross proceeds from a sale or disposition of Units that occur on or after January 1, 2017. If withholding is required under FATCA on a payment related to your Units, investors that would otherwise not be subject to withholding (or that would be entitled to a reduced rate of withholding) on such payment generally will be required to seek a refund or credit from the IRS to obtain the benefit of such exemption or reduction. Your Trust will not pay any additional amounts in respect of amounts withheld under FATCA. You should consult your tax advisor regarding the effect of FATCA based on your individual circumstances.

Backup Withholding. By law, your Trust must withhold as backup withholding a percentage (currently 28%) of your taxable distributions and redemption proceeds if you do not provide your correct social security or taxpayer identification number and certify that you are not subject to backup withholding, or if the IRS instructs your Trust to do so.

Investors Should Consult Their Tax Advisors. Investors in the Trust may be subject to federal, state, local, or foreign taxes in connection with their investment in the Trust. Investors are encouraged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the specific federal, state, local, and foreign tax consequences that may affect them as a result of an investment in the Trust.

EXPENSES

General. The Trustee will periodically deduct from the Interest Account and, to the extent funds are not sufficient therein, from the Principal Account, amounts necessary to pay the expenses of the Trusts, provided that organization costs are generally paid out of cash deposited in the Principal Account. The Trustee also may withdraw from these Accounts such amounts, if any, as it deems necessary to establish a reserve for any governmental charges payable out of the Trusts. Amounts so withdrawn shall not be considered a part of a Trust’s assets until such time as the Trustee shall return all or any part of such amounts to the appropriate Accounts.

Organization Costs. You and the other Unitholders will bear all or a portion of the organization costs and charges incurred in connection with the establishment of your Trust. These costs and charges will include the cost of the preparation, printing and execution of the trust agreement, registration statement and other documents relating to your Trust, federal and state registration fees and costs, the initial fees and expenses of the Trustee, and legal and auditing expenses. The Public Offering Price of Units includes the estimated amount of these costs. The Trustee will deduct these expenses from your Trust’s assets at the end of the initial offering period or after six months, if earlier.

Sponsor, Supervisor, Evaluator and Trustee. The Sponsor and the Supervisor, which is an affiliate of the Sponsor, will receive the annual fee indicated under “Summary of Essential Financial Information” for providing bookkeeping and administrative services and for providing portfolio supervisory services for the Trusts. These fees may exceed the actual costs of
providing these services for a Trust but the total amount received for providing these services to all Invesco unit investment trusts will not exceed the total cost of providing the services in any calendar year. The Evaluator will receive the annual evaluation fee indicated under “Summary of Essential Financial Information” for evaluating each Trust’s portfolio. For its services the Trustee will receive the fee indicated under “Summary of Essential Financial Information” (which may be reduced as described therein). Part of the Trustee’s compensation for its services is expected to result from the use of the funds being held in the Principal and Interest Accounts for future distributions, payment of expenses and redemptions since these Accounts are non-interest bearing to Unitholders. These fees are based on the outstanding principal amount of bonds and Units on the Date of Deposit for the first year and as of the close of business on January 1 for each year thereafter. The Sponsor’s, Supervisor’s, Evaluator’s and Trustee’s fees may be increased without approval of the Unitholders by amounts not exceeding proportionate increases under the category “Services Less Rent of Shelter” in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers or, if this category is not published, in a comparable category.

Miscellaneous Expenses. The following additional charges are or may be incurred by the Trusts: (a) fees of the Trustee for extraordinary services, (b) expenses of the Trustee (including legal and auditing expenses) and of counsel designated by the Sponsor, (c) various governmental charges, (d) expenses and costs of any action taken by the Trustee to protect the Trusts and the rights and interests of Unitholders, (e) indemnification of the Trustee for any loss, liability or expenses incurred by it in the administration of the Trusts without negligence, bad faith or willful misconduct on its part, (f) any special custodial fees payable in connection with the sale of any of the bonds in a Trust, (g) expenditures incurred in contacting Unitholders upon termination of the Trusts and (h) costs incurred to reimburse the Trustee for advancing funds to the Trusts to meet scheduled distributions (which costs may be adjusted periodically in response to fluctuations in short-term interest rates). Each Trust will pay the costs associated with updating its registration statement each year. The fees and expenses set forth herein are payable out of the Trusts. When such fees and expenses are paid by or owing to the Trustee, they are secured by a lien on the portfolio of the applicable Trust. If the balances in the Interest and Principal Accounts are insufficient to provide for amounts payable by a Trust, the Trustee has the power to sell bonds to pay such amounts.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This prospectus does not contain all the information set forth in the registration statements filed by your Trust with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Investment Company Act of 1940 (file no. 811-2754). The Information Supplement, which has been filed with the SEC, includes more detailed information concerning the bonds in your Trust, investment risks and general information about the Trust. Information about your Trust (including the Information Supplement) can be reviewed and copied at the SEC’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. You may obtain information about the Public Reference Room by calling 1-202-551-8090. Reports and other information about your Trust are available on the EDGAR Database on the SEC’s Internet site at http://www.sec.gov. Copies of this information may be obtained, after paying a duplication fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing the SEC’s Public Reference Section, Washington, DC 20549-0102.

OTHER MATTERS

Legal Matters. The legality of the Units offered hereby and certain matters relating to federal tax law have been passed upon by Paul Hastings LLP. Dorsey & Whitney LLP has acted as counsel to the Trustee.

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The statement of condition and the related portfolio at the Date of Deposit included in this prospectus have been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report in this prospectus, and are included herein in reliance upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.
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When Units of the Trust are no longer available this prospectus may be used as a preliminary prospectus for a future Trust. If this prospectus is used for future Trusts you should note the following:

The information in this prospectus is not complete with respect to future Trust series and may be changed. No person may sell Units of future Trusts until a registration statement is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell Units and is not soliciting an offer to buy Units in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.
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This Information Supplement provides additional information concerning the risks and operations of the Trusts which is not described in the prospectus for the Trusts. This Information Supplement should be read in conjunction with the prospectus. This Information Supplement is not a prospectus (but is incorporated into the prospectus by reference), does not include all of the information that an investor should consider before investing in a Trust and may not be used to offer or sell Units without the prospectus. Copies of the prospectus can be obtained by contacting the Sponsor’s unit investment trust division at 3500 Lacey Road, Suite 700, Downers Grove, Illinois 60515-5456, or by contacting your broker. This Information Supplement is dated as of the date of this prospectus and all capitalized terms have been defined in the prospectus.
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Risk Factors

The Trusts include certain types of bonds described below. Accordingly, an investment in a Trust should be made with an understanding of the characteristics of and risks associated with such bonds. Neither the Sponsor nor the Trustee shall be liable in any way for any default, failure or defect in any of the bonds.

**Consumer Discretionary and Consumer Staples Issuers.** The Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by companies that manufacture or sell consumer products. The profitability of these companies will be affected by various factors including the general state of the economy and consumer spending trends. In the past, there have been major changes in the retail environment due to the declaration of bankruptcy by some of the major corporations involved in the retail industry, particularly the department store segment. The continued viability of the retail industry will depend on the industry's ability to adapt and to compete in changing economic and social conditions, to attract and retain capable management, and to finance expansion. Weakness in the banking or real estate industry, a recessionary economic climate with the consequent slowdown in employment growth, less favorable trends in unemployment or a marked deceleration in real disposable personal income growth could result in significant pressure on both consumer wealth and consumer confidence, adversely affecting consumer spending habits. Increasing employee and retiree benefit costs may also have an adverse effect on the industry. In many sectors of the retail industry, competition may be fierce due to market saturation, converging consumer tastes and other factors. Because of these factors and the recent increase in trade opportunities with other countries, American retailers are now entering global markets which entail added risks such as sudden weakening of foreign economies, difficulty in adapting to local conditions and constraints and added research costs.

**Financial Services Issuers.** The Trust may invest significantly in bonds issued by companies within the bank and financial services sector.

The effects of the sub-prime mortgage crisis that began to unfold in 2007 continue to manifest in nearly all the sub-divisions of the financial services industry. Sub-prime mortgage related losses and write downs among investment banks and similar institutions reached significant levels in 2008. The impact of these losses among traditional banks, investment banks, broker/dealers and insurers has forced a number of large such institutions into either liquidation or combination, while drastically increasing the credit risk, and possibility of default, of bonds issued by such institutions faced with these troubles. Many of the institutions are having difficulty in accessing credit markets to finance their operations and in maintaining appropriate levels of equity capital. In some cases, the U.S. government has acted to bail out or provide support to select institutions, however the risk of default by such issuers has nonetheless increased substantially.

While the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Federal Reserve Board and Congress have taken steps to address problems in the financial markets and with financial institutions, there can be no assurance that the risks associated with investment in financial services company issuers will decrease as a result of these steps.

Banks and their holding companies are especially subject to the adverse effects of economic recession, volatile interest rates, portfolio concentrations in geographic markets and in commercial and residential real estate loans, and competition from new entrants in their fields of business. Banks are highly dependent on net interest margin. Bank profitability is largely dependent on the availability and cost of capital funds, and can fluctuate significantly when interest rates change or due to increased competition. As initial home purchasing and refinancing activity subsided as a result of increasing interest rates and other factors, this income diminished. Economic conditions in the real estate markets have deteriorated and have had a substantial negative effect upon banks because they generally have a portion of their assets invested in loans secured by real estate. Banks and their holding companies are subject to extensive federal regulation and, when such institutions are state-chartered, to state regulation as well. Such regulations impose strict capital requirements and limitations on the nature and extent of business activities that banks may pursue. Furthermore, bank regulators have a wide range of discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement authority and may substantially
restrict the permissible activities of a particular institution if deemed to pose significant risks to the soundness of such institution or the safety of the federal deposit insurance fund. Regulatory actions, such as increases in the minimum capital requirements applicable to banks and increases in deposit insurance premiums required to be paid by banks and thrifts to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), can negatively impact earnings and the ability of a company to pay dividends. Neither federal insurance of deposits nor governmental regulations, however, insures the solvency or profitability of banks or their holding companies, or insures against any risk of investment in the securities issued by such institutions.

The statutory requirements applicable to and regulatory supervision of banks and their holding companies have increased significantly and have undergone substantial change in recent years. To a great extent, these changes are embodied in the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act; enacted in August 1989, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, and the regulations promulgated under these laws. Many of the regulations promulgated pursuant to these laws have only recently been finalized and their impact on the business, financial condition and prospects of the securities in the Trust’s portfolio cannot be predicted with certainty. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act financial-services overhaul legislation allows banks, securities firms and insurance companies to form one-stop financial conglomerates marketing a wide range of financial service products to investors. This legislation has resulted in increased merger activity and heightened competition among existing and new participants in the field. Legislation to liberalize interstate banking has been signed into law in recent years, allowing banks to be able to purchase or establish subsidiary banks in any state. Since mid-1997, banks have been allowed to turn existing banks into branches. Consolidation is likely to continue. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) and the Financial Accounting Standards Board require the expanded use of market value accounting by banks and have imposed rules requiring market accounting for investment securities held in trading accounts or available for sale. Adoption of additional such rules may result in increased volatility in the reported health of the industry, and mandated regulatory intervention to correct such problems. Additional legislative and regulatory changes may be forthcoming. For example, the bank regulatory authorities have proposed substantial changes to the Community Reinvestment Act and fair lending laws, rules and regulations, and there can be no certainty as to the effect, if any, that such changes would have on the bonds in the Trust’s portfolio. In addition, from time to time the deposit insurance system is reviewed by Congress and federal regulators, and proposed reforms of that system could, among other things, further restrict the ways in which deposited moneys can be used by banks or reduce the dollar amount or number of deposits insured for any depositor. Such reforms could reduce profitability, as investment opportunities available to bank institutions become more limited and as consumers look for savings vehicles other than bank deposits. Banks face significant competition from other financial institutions such as mutual funds, credit unions, mortgage banking companies and insurance companies, and increased competition may result from legislative broadening of regional and national interstate banking powers. Among other benefits, such legislation allows banks and bank holding companies to acquire across previously prohibited state lines and to consolidate their various bank subsidiaries into one unit. Neither the Sponsor nor any Underwriter makes any prediction as to what, if any, manner of bank regulatory actions might ultimately be adopted or what ultimate effect such actions might have on the Trust’s portfolio.

The Federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 generally prohibits a bank holding company from (1) acquiring, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of a bank or bank holding company, (2) acquiring control of a bank or another bank holding company, (3) acquiring all or substantially all the assets of a bank, or (4) merging or consolidating with another bank holding company, without first obtaining Federal Reserve Board ("FRB") approval. In considering an application with respect to any such transaction, the FRB is required to consider a variety of factors, including the potential anti-competitive effects of the transaction, the financial condition and future prospects of the combining and resulting institutions, the managerial resources of the resulting institution, the convenience and needs of the communities the combined organization would serve, the record of performance of each combining organization under the Community Reinvestment Act and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, and the prospective availability to the FRB of information
appropriate to determine ongoing regulatory compliance with applicable banking laws. In addition, the federal Change In Bank Control Act and various state laws impose limitations on the ability of one or more individuals or other entities to acquire control of banks or bank holding companies.

The FRB has issued a policy statement on the payment of cash dividends by bank holding companies. In the policy statement, the FRB expressed its view that a bank holding company experiencing earnings weaknesses should not pay cash dividends which exceed its net income or which could only be funded in ways that would weaken its financial health, such as by borrowing. The FRB also may impose limitations on the payment of dividends as a condition to its approval of certain applications, including applications for approval of mergers and acquisitions. Neither the Sponsor nor any Underwriter makes any prediction as to the effect, if any, such laws will have on the bonds or whether such approvals, if necessary, will be obtained.

Companies engaged in the investment management industry are subject to the adverse effects of economic recession, volatile interest rates, and competition from new entrants in their fields of business. Adverse changes in the direction of the stock market, investor confidence, equity transaction volume, the level and direction of interest rates and the outlook of emerging markets could adversely affect the financial stability, as well as the prices of the securities, of these companies. Additionally, competitive pressures, including increased competition with new and existing competitors, the ongoing commoditization of traditional businesses and the need for increased capital expenditures on new technology could adversely impact the profit margins of companies in the investment management and brokerage industries. Companies involved in the investment management industry are also subject to extensive regulation by government agencies and self-regulatory organizations, and changes in laws, regulations or rules, or in the interpretation of such laws, regulations and rules could adversely affect such companies.

Companies involved in the insurance, reinsurance and risk management industry underwrite, sell or distribute property, casualty and business insurance. Many factors affect insurance, reinsurance and risk management company profits, including but not limited to interest rate movements, the imposition of premium rate caps, a misapprehension of the risks involved in given underwritings, competition and pressure to compete globally, weather catastrophes or other disasters and the effects of client mergers. Individual companies may be exposed to material risks including reserve inadequacy and the inability to collect from reinsurance carriers. Insurance companies are subject to extensive governmental regulation, including the imposition of maximum rate levels, which may not be adequate for some lines of business. Proposed or potential tax law changes may also adversely affect insurance companies’ policy sales, tax obligations and profitability. In addition to the foregoing, profit margins of these companies continue to shrink due to the commoditization of traditional businesses, new competitors, capital expenditures on new technology and the pressure to compete globally.

In addition to the normal risks of business, companies involved in the insurance and risk management industry are subject to significant risk factors, including those applicable to regulated insurance companies, such as:

- the inherent uncertainty in the process of establishing property-liability loss reserves, and the fact that ultimate losses could materially exceed established loss reserves, which could have a material adverse effect on results of operations and financial condition;
- the fact that insurance companies have experienced, and can be expected in the future to experience, catastrophic losses, which could have a material adverse impact on their financial conditions, results of operations and cash flow;
- the inherent uncertainty in the process of establishing property-liability loss reserves due to changes in loss payment patterns caused by new claim settlement practices;
- the need for insurance companies and their subsidiaries to maintain appropriate levels of statutory capital and surplus, particularly in light of continuing scrutiny by rating organizations and state insurance regulatory authorities, and in order to maintain acceptable financial strength or claims-paying ability ratings;
• the extensive regulation and supervision to which insurance companies are subject, and various regulatory and other legal actions;
• the adverse impact that increases in interest rates could have on the value of an insurance company’s investment portfolio and on the attractiveness of certain of its products; and
• the uncertainty involved in estimating the availability of reinsurance and the collectability of reinsurance recoverables.

The state insurance regulatory framework has, during recent years, come under increased federal scrutiny, and certain state legislatures have considered or enacted laws that alter and, in many cases, increase state authority to regulate insurance companies and insurance holding company systems. Further, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) and state insurance regulators are re-examining existing laws and regulations, specifically focusing on insurance companies, interpretations of existing laws and the development of new laws. In addition, Congress and certain federal agencies have investigated the condition of the insurance industry in the United States to determine whether to promulgate additional federal regulation. The Sponsor is unable to predict whether any state or federal legislation will be enacted to change the nature or scope of regulation of the insurance industry, or what effect, if any, such legislation would have on the industry.

All insurance companies are subject to state laws and regulations that require diversification of their investment portfolios and limit the amount of investments in certain investment categories. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations would cause non-conforming investments to be treated as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring statutory surplus and, in some instances, would require divestiture.

**Taxable Municipal Issues.** Certain bonds in a Trust may consist of taxable obligations of municipal issuers. Obligations of municipal issuers can be either general obligations of a government entity that are backed by the taxing power of such entity or revenue bonds payable from the income of a specific project or authority and are not supported by the issuer’s power to levy taxes.

*General obligation bonds* are backed by the general taxing power of the issuer. The issuer secures these bonds by pledging its faith, credit and unlimited taxing power for the payment of principal and interest.

*Revenue bonds* are payable only from the revenue of a specific project or authority. They are not supported by the issuer’s general power to levy taxes. The risk of default in payment of interest or principal increases if the income of the related project falters because that income is the only source of payment.

*Airport bonds* are obligations of issuers that own and operate airports. The ability of the issuer to make payments on these bonds primarily depends on the ability of airlines to meet their obligations under use agreements. Due to increased competition, deregulation, increased fuel costs and other factors, some airlines may have difficulty meeting these obligations.

*Bond banks* are vehicles that pool various municipal obligations into larger offerings. This reduces the cost of borrowing for the municipalities. The types of financing projects that these obligations support vary.

*Build America Bonds* were issued pursuant to The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the “Recovery Act”), authorizing states and local governments to issue taxable bonds and to elect to receive a federal subsidy for a portion of their borrowing costs through a refundable tax credit paid by the Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) in an amount equal to 35 percent of the total coupon interest payable to investors (45 percent for those Build America Bonds that qualify and are designated as Recovery Zone Economic Development Bonds).

The Recovery Act adds a new section to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the “Code”) which authorizes Build America Bonds that meet the definition of “qualified bonds”, as described below, to receive the refundable credit. The Code section defines the term “qualified bond” to mean a Build America Bond (a) issued
before January 1, 2011, (b) with 100 percent of the excess of (i) the available project proceeds (as defined to mean sale proceeds of such issue less not more than two percent of such proceeds used to pay issuance costs plus investment proceeds thereon), over (ii) the amounts in a reasonably required reserve fund with respect to such issue, are to be used for capital expenditures, and (c) where the issuer makes an irrevocable election to have this subsection of the Code apply.

Should a Build America Bond issuer fail to continue to meet the applicable requirements as imposed by the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, it is possible that such issuer may not receive federal cash subsidy payments, impairing the issuer’s ability to make scheduled interest payments. In addition, Build America Bonds are often subject to extraordinary redemption in the event that changes to Sections 54AA or 6431 of the Code (as added by the Recovery Act) or other federal legislation causes to reduce or eliminate the federal cash subsidy payment for a portion of a Build America Bond issuer’s borrowing costs.

**Qualified School Construction Bonds, Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds** (collectively, “Qualified Bonds”) are taxable bonds that are similar to certain Build America Bonds, in that state and municipal Qualified Bond issuers may elect to receive direct interest-subsidy payments from the U.S. Treasury if certain conditions are met. The Hiring Incentives to Restore Employment Act, enacted into federal law on March 18, 2010, permits issuers of Qualified Bonds to seek applicable subsidies on bond interest payments.

Qualified School Construction Bonds, issued pursuant to provisions in the Recovery Act, are issued to finance the construction, rehabilitation, or repair of a public school facility or for the acquisition of land on which such a bond-financed facility will be constructed. Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds are both issued pursuant to the “Energy Improvement and Extension Act of 2008”, and like Qualified School Construction Bonds, are governed by Section 54A of the Code. Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds are issued for qualified energy conservation purposes, and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds are issued to finance qualified renewable energy facilities that produce electricity. Although the year of issuance is not restricted for Qualified Bonds, federal law provides for limits on the dollar amounts that may be issued for these bond types.

Federal legislation has amended the Code in recent years to provide for certain qualifications and restrictions on the issuance of Qualified Bonds, and to include such bonds under the definition of “qualified tax credit bond” as found in Section 54A of the Code. Eligible issuers of Qualified School Construction Bonds may receive subsidy payments equal to 100% of the lesser of the actual interest rate of the bonds or the tax credit rate for municipal tax-credit bonds, set daily by the U.S. Treasury. Eligible issuers of Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds and Clean Renewable Energy Bonds may receive subsidy payments equal to 70% of the lesser of the actual interest rate of the bonds or the tax credit rate for municipal tax-credit bonds, set daily by the U.S. Treasury.

Should the issuer of a Qualified Bond fail continue to meet the applicable requirements as imposed on any such bond by the Code or other federal laws, it is possible that such issuer may not receive federal cash subsidy payments, impairing the issuer’s ability to make scheduled interest payments or even causing mandatory redemption of a portion of the bonds. As provided in Section 54A of the Code, Qualified Bonds are also subject to mandatory redemption of any portion of available project proceeds that remain unexpended by the issuer after three years from the date of issuance. This mandatory redemption must be completed within 90 days after such three-year period, unless an extension is granted by the Treasury. Additionally, Qualified Bonds may be subject to extraordinary redemption in the event that changes to applicable sections of the Code or other federal legislation causes to reduce or eliminate the federal cash subsidy payment for any Qualified Bond issuer’s borrowing costs.

**Certificates of participation** are generally a type of municipal lease obligation. Lease payments of a governmental entity secure payments on these bonds. These payments depend on the governmental entity budgeting appropriations for the lease payments. A governmental body cannot obligate future governments to
appropriate for or make lease payments, but governments typically promise to take action necessary to include lease payments in their budgets. If a government fails to budget for or make lease payments, sufficient funds may not exist to pay interest or principal on these bonds.

_Health care bonds_ are obligations of issuers that derive revenue from hospitals and hospital systems. The ability of these issuers to make payments on bonds depends on factors such as facility occupancy levels, demand for services, competition resulting from hospital mergers and affiliations, the need to reduce costs, government regulation, costs of malpractice insurance and claims, and government financial assistance (such as Medicare and Medicaid).

_Higher education bonds_ are obligations of issuers that operate universities and colleges. These issuers derive revenues from tuition, dormitories, grants and endowments. These issuers face problems related to declines in the number of college-age individuals, possible inability to raise tuitions and fees, uncertainty of continued federal grants, state funding or donations, and government legislation or regulation.

_Industrial revenue bonds_ finance the cost of acquiring, building or improving industrial projects. Private corporations usually operate these projects. The ability of the issuer to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the creditworthiness of the corporation operating the project, revenues generated by the project, expenses of the project and environmental or other regulatory restrictions.

_Multi-family housing bonds_ are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from mortgage loans on multiple family residences, retirement housing or housing projects for low to moderate-income families. These bonds are generally pre-payable at any time. It is likely that their life will be less than their stated maturity. The ability of these issuers to make payments on bonds depends on such factors as rental income, occupancy levels, operating expenses, mortgage default rates, taxes, government regulations and appropriation of subsidies.

_Other care bonds_ include obligations of issuers that derive revenue from mental health facilities, nursing homes and intermediate care facilities. These bonds are similar to health care bonds and the issuers face the same general risks.

_Public building bonds_ finance the cost of acquiring, leasing, building or improving public buildings such as offices, recreation facilities, convention centers, police stations, correctional institutions and parking garages. The ability of the issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the government budgeting sufficient funds to make lease or mortgage payments on the facility, user fees or rents, costs of maintenance and decreases in use of the facility.

_Public education bonds_ are obligations of issuers that operate primary and secondary schools. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends primarily on ad valorem taxes. These issuers may also face problems related to litigation contesting state constitutionality of public education financing.

_Retail electric/gas/telephone bonds_ are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from the retail sale of utilities to customers. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the rates and demand for these utilities, competition, government regulation and rate approvals, overhead expenses and the cost of fuels.

_Single family housing bonds_ are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from mortgage loans on single family residences. Single family residences generally include one to four-family dwellings. These bonds are similar to multi-family housing bonds and the issuers face the same general risks.

_Tax district bonds_ are obligations secured by a pledge of taxing power by a municipality, such as tax increment financing or tax allocation bonds. These bonds are similar to general obligation bonds. Unlike general obligation bonds, however, the municipality does not pledge its unlimited taxing power to pay these bonds. Instead, the municipality pledges revenues from a specific tax to pay these bonds. If the tax cannot support payment of interest and principal, a municipality may need to raise the related tax to pay these bonds. An inability to raise the tax could have an adverse affect on these bonds.
Transportation bonds are obligations of issuers that own and operate public transit systems, ports, highways, turnpikes, bridges and other transportation systems. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on variations in use, the degree of government subsidization, competition from other forms of transportation and increased costs. Port authorities derive revenues primarily from fees imposed on ships using the port facilities. These fees can fluctuate depending on the local economy and competition from air, rail and truck transportation. Increased fuel costs, alternative transportation modes and competition from toll-free bridges and roads will impact revenues of issuers that operate bridges, roads or tunnels.

Waste disposal bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from resource recovery facilities. These facilities process solid waste, generate steam and convert steam to electricity. These issuers face problems such as costs and delays due to environmental concerns, effects of conservation and recycling, destruction or condemnation of a project, void or unenforceable contracts, changes in the economic availability of raw materials, operating supplies or facilities, and other unavoidable changes that adversely affect operation of a project.

Water and sewer bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from user fees from the sale of water and sewerage services. These issuers face problems such as the ability to obtain rate increases, population declines, difficulties in obtaining new fresh water supplies and “no-growth” zoning ordinances. These issuers also face many of the same problems of waste disposal issuers.

Wholesale electric bonds are obligations of issuers that derive revenues from selling electricity to other utilities. The ability of these issuers to make payments on these bonds depends on factors such as the rates and demand for electric utilities, competition, overhead expenses and government regulation and rate approvals.

Zero Coupon Bonds. Certain of the bonds in a Trust may be “zero coupon” bonds. Zero coupon bonds are purchased at a deep discount because the buyer receives only the right to receive a final payment at the maturity of the bond and does not receive any periodic interest payments. The effect of owning deep discount bonds which do not make current interest payments (such as the zero coupon bonds) is that a fixed yield is earned not only on the original investment but also, in effect, on all discount earned during the life of such income on the bond at a rate as high as the implicit yield on the discount bond, but at the same time eliminates the holder’s ability to reinvest at higher rates in the future. For this reason, zero coupon bonds are subject to substantially greater price fluctuations during periods of changing market interest rates than are securities of comparable quality which pay interest.

Insurance on the Bonds

Insurance has been obtained by the issuer of bonds or by a prior owner of such bonds prior to the deposit of such bonds in an Insured Trust guaranteeing prompt payment of interest and principal, when due, in respect of the bonds in such Insured Trust. See “The Trusts--Objective and Bond Selection” in the prospectus. The premium for any insurance policy or policies obtained by an issuer of bonds has been paid by such issuer, and any such policy or policies are non-cancelable and will continue in force so long as the bonds so insured are outstanding and the preinsured bond insurer remains in business.

Bond Insurers. The following is a description of the various preinsured bond insurers:

ACA Financial Guaranty Corporation ("ACA Financial Guaranty"). ACA Financial Guaranty is organized and domiciled in the State of Maryland. Since December 2007, ACA Financial Guaranty has not issued any new financial guaranty insurance policies and is currently operating as a runoff insurance company.

On August 8, 2008, ACA Financial Guaranty and counterparties to its structured finance products reached an agreement on a restructuring plan for ACA Financial Guaranty. The plan, approved by the Maryland Insurance Administration, provided for settlement of the structured finance obligations and protection for ACA Financial Guaranty's municipal policyholders. The settlement required that ACA Financial Guaranty make a $209 million cash payment and a distribution of surplus notes. The surplus notes provide the former collateralized debt
obligation ("CDO") counterparties and certain other counterparties with approximately a 95% economic interest in ACA Financial Guaranty. ACA Financial Guaranty continues to operate as a runoff insurance company and focus on actively monitoring its remaining insured municipal obligations.

As of September 30, 2012, ACA Financial Guaranty had total admitted assets of $436.3 million and total liabilities of $328.7 million, resulting in a surplus as regards policyholders of $107.6 million.

On December 15, 2008, S&P raised the financial strength, financial enhancement, and issuer credit ratings on ACA Financial Guaranty to B from CCC, with a developing outlook. The upgrade reflected the positive effects of the restructuring transaction completed in August 2008 that settled all outstanding CDO and reinsurance exposures of the company, including the significantly deteriorated CDO of asset-backed securities ("ABS") transactions, eliminating a requirement to post a significant amount of collateral to the CDO of ABS counterparties. At the same time, S&P also withdrew the ratings at ACA Financial Guaranty's request.

The information relating to ACA Financial Guaranty contained above has been furnished by ACA Financial Guaranty or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac Assurance"). Ambac Financial Group, Inc. ("Ambac"), headquartered in New York City, is a holding company incorporated in the state of Delaware on April 29, 1991. Ambac's activities are divided into two business segments: (i) financial guarantee and (ii) financial services. Ambac provides financial guarantee insurance for public and structured finance obligations through its principal operating subsidiary, Ambac Assurance. As a holding company, Ambac is largely dependent on dividends from Ambac Assurance to pay principal and interest on its indebtedness and to pay its operating expenses.

On June 5, 2008, S&P downgraded Ambac Assurance's insurance financial strength rating to AA from AAA, with negative implications. On June 19, 2008, Moody's downgraded Ambac Assurance's insurance financial strength rating from Aaa to Aa3, with a negative outlook. Moody's June 19, 2008 downgrade of Ambac Assurance's insurance financial strength rating reflects Ambac Assurance's overall credit profile in the current environment, including its significantly constrained new business prospects, its impaired financial flexibility and increased expected and stress loss projections among its mortgage-related risk exposures relative to previous estimates. On November 5, 2008, Moody's downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance from Aa3 to Baa1, with a developing outlook, as a result of greater than expected mortgage-related losses in the third quarter. On November 19, 2008, S&P lowered its insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance to A from AA, with a negative outlook. The November 19, 2008 rating action on Ambac Assurance reflects S&P's view that Ambac Assurance's exposures in the U.S. residential mortgage sector and particularly the related collateralized debt obligation structures have been a source of significant and comparatively greater-than-competitor losses and will continue to expose the company to the potential for further adverse loss development. On April 13, 2009, Moody's downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance from Baa1 to Ba3, with a developing outlook, reflecting Ambac Assurance's weakened business position and very constrained financial flexibility, as well as its weakened risk adjusted capitalization, as Moody's loss estimates on residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") have increased significantly. In Moody's view, these higher loss estimates increase the estimated capital required to support Ambac Assurance's sizable direct RMBS portfolio (including securities owned as well as securities guaranteed) and also the insurer's large portfolio of ABS CDO risks. On June 24, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of Ambac Assurance to BBB from A with negative implications. The June 24, 2009 rating action on Ambac Assurance reflects S&P's view that Ambac Assurance is effectively in runoff and the likelihood of the company continuing as an operating entity capable of writing new business has decreased significantly. On July 28, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of Ambac Assurance to CC from BBB, with a developing outlook. The July 28, 2009 rating action on Ambac Assurance reflects S&P's view of the significant deterioration in the Ambac Assurance's insured portfolio of
nonprime RMBS and related CDOs, which has required the company to strengthen reserves to account for higher projected claims. In S&P’s view, the additional reserves will have a significant negative effect on operating results, which will likely cause surplus to decline to below regulator required minimums. On July 29, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance from Ba3 to Caa2, with a developing outlook, reflecting Moody’s belief that, as a result of Ambac Assurance’s recently announced large loss reserve increase and credit impairment charge estimated for the second quarter of 2009 which would reduce Ambac Assurance’s regulatory capital to levels below the required minimum threshold, there will be increased pressure on Ambac Assurance’s counterparties to commute outstanding exposures on terms that could imply a distressed exchange. On March 25, 2010, S&P revised the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of Ambac Assurance to R from CC (an issuer rated “R” by S&P is under regulatory supervision because of its financial condition). S&P’s March 25, 2010 rating action resulted following a directive by the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin to Ambac Assurance to establish a segregated account for certain insured exposure, primarily policies related to credit derivatives, RMBS, and other structured finance transactions. On March 26, 2010, Moody’s placed the Caa2 insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance on review for possible upgrade. On November 23, 2010, Moody’s affirmed Ambac’s Caa2 insurance financial strength rating, with a developing outlook. On November 30, 2010, S&P withdrew the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of Ambac at the company’s request. The November 30, 2010 rating action followed a directive by the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin to Ambac to establish a segregated account for certain insured exposure, primarily policies related to credit derivatives, residential mortgage-backed securities, and other structured finance transactions. On April 7, 2011, Moody’s withdrew the insurance financial strength rating of Ambac Assurance.

There have been a number of developments with respect to ratings actions by the rating agencies. As a result of these rating agency actions, as well as investor concern with respect to these actions, Ambac Assurance and its operating subsidiaries have been able to originate only a de minimis amount of new financial guarantee business since November 2007, and no new business in 2009. As a result, Ambac is no longer competing for new business.

On March 25, 2010, Ambac announced that, at the direction of the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Wisconsin (“OCI”), Ambac Assurance had established a segregated account for certain of its liabilities, primarily policies related to credit derivatives, RMBS and other structured finance transactions. This action derives from the OCI’s view that immediate action is necessary to address Ambac Assurance’s financial position. In conjunction with the establishment of the segregated account, the OCI has commenced rehabilitation proceedings with respect to liabilities contained in the segregated account in order to facilitate an orderly run-off and/or settlement of those specific liabilities. On June 7, 2010 Ambac announced that it had commuted all of its remaining CDOs of ABS and that certain other non-CDO of ABS exposures would be commuted within the next twelve months.

On November 8, 2010, Ambac announced that it has filed for a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the “Bankruptcy Court”). Ambac will continue to operate in the ordinary course of business as “debtor-in-possession” under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the orders of the Bankruptcy Court. Ambac Assurance is subject to insurance regulatory requirements of the States of Wisconsin and New York, and the other jurisdictions in which it is licensed to conduct business.

Ambac Assurance’s statutory policyholder surplus and qualified statutory capital were $100.0 million and $628.3 million at September 30, 2012, respectively as compared to $495.3 million and $684.6 million as of December 31, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, total stockholders’ deficit was $3.49 billion; at December 31, 2011, total stockholders’ deficit was $3.15 billion. This increased deficit was primarily caused by
the net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, partially offset by unrealized gains on investment securities during the period.

The information relating to Ambac Assurance contained above has been furnished by Ambac Assurance or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

**Assured Guaranty Corp. (“Assured Guaranty”) and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (“Assured Municipal”) (formerly Financial Security Assurance Inc. (“FSA”)).** Assured Guaranty, a subsidiary of Assured Guaranty Ltd. (“Assured”), is organized in the State of Maryland and provides financial guaranty insurance to both the municipal and structured finance sectors. Assured Municipal, also a subsidiary of Assured, is a separately capitalized company organized in the State of New York and provides municipal bond insurance.

In January 2009, Assured Guaranty finalized an agreement with CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. to assume a diversified portfolio of financial guaranty contracts totaling approximately $13.3 billion of net par outstanding. Assured Guaranty received $75.6 million, which included $85.7 million of upfront premiums net of ceding commissions and approximately $12.2 million of future installments related to this transaction.

On July 1, 2009, Assured completed the purchase of Financial Security Assurance Holdings Ltd., the parent of financial guaranty insurance company, FSA, from Dexia Holdings Inc. Effective November 9, 2009, FSA was renamed Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. In certain states, Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. may operate under its prior name, Financial Security Assurance Inc.

On June 24, 2010, S&P published a Full Analysis in which it affirmed its “AAA” financial strength ratings of Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal, reflecting S&P’s view of the companies’ dominant market position in the bond insurance industry and their strong combined capital position. On October 25, 2010, S&P lowered the counterparty credit and financial strength ratings of both Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal to AA+ from AAA, with a stable outlook. The downgrades reflect S&P’s view of a struggling financial guarantee market, each company’s weak statutory operating performance, and also the quality of each company’s capital within S&P’s capital adequacy analysis. On November 30, 2011 S&P lowered the counterparty credit and financial strength ratings of both Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal to AA- from AA+, with a stable outlook. The November 30, 2011 downgrades reflect S&P’s view that Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal do not maintain enough capital to mitigate the largest obligor concentrations for a higher rating.

On November 21, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Assured Guaranty from Aaa to Aa2, primarily reflecting Moody’s updated view on Assured Guaranty’s exposure to weakness inherent in the financial guaranty business model. Also on November 21, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of FSA from Aaa to Aa3, reflecting Moody’s view of FSA’s diminished business and financial profile resulting from its exposure to losses on U.S. mortgage risks and disruption in the financial guaranty business more broadly. On November 12, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Assured Guaranty from Aa2 to Aa3, with a negative outlook. Moody’s November 12, 2009 downgrade results from Moody’s review of the performance of Assured’s RMBS exposures. Moody’s said that adverse trends in RMBS loss estimates have had varying effects on Assured’s main insurance subsidiaries. On March 5, 2010, Moody’s confirmed the insurance financial strength ratings of both Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal at Aa3, with a negative outlook. On January 17, 2013, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of Assured Guaranty to A3 from Aa3 and of Assured Municipal to A2 from Aa3, both with a stable outlook. The January 17, 2013 downgrade reflects Moody’s reassessment of the business franchise, expected future profitability and financial flexibility of Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal.

Assured’s third quarter 2012 net income declined to $142 million from $761 million in third quarter 2011 due primarily to the effects of changes in credit spreads on the fair value of credit derivatives, offset in part by a decline in loss expense, which was significantly higher in the prior year due mainly to the effects of declining discount rates in the third quarter of 2011. Non-GAAP operating income in third quarter 2012 was $166 million, an increase from
operating income in third quarter 2011, due primarily to higher net earned premiums due to refundings, accelerations and terminations, lower loss expense, and a lower effective tax rate on operating income. As of September 30, 2012, Assured Guaranty had total assets of $5.28 billion and total liabilities of $4.13 billion, resulting in total shareholder equity of $1.15 billion. As of September 30, 2012, Assured Municipal had total assets of $10.74 billion and total liabilities of $7.64 billion, resulting in total shareholder equity of $3.10 billion.

The information contained above relating to Assured Guaranty and Assured Municipal and their parent company, Assured, is based upon publicly available information, or upon information that has been provided by the ratings agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

Build America Mutual Assurance Company (“BAM”). BAM is a New York domiciled mutual insurance company owned by the issuers of municipal bonds who use BAM to insure their debt obligations. BAM officially launched on July 23, 2012 and began writing policies in September of 2012.

On July 23, 2012, S&P assigned an initial rating of AA to BAM's financial strength and counterparty credit ratings, with a stable outlook. These ratings based upon S&P's view that BAM holds a very strong competitive position with low industry risk, along with extremely strong capital adequacy and strong operating performance.

As of September 30, 2012 BAM had total net admitted assets of $518.9 million and total liabilities of $27.9 million, resulting in a surplus as regards policyholders of $491.0 million.

The information relating to BAM contained above has been furnished by BAM or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp (“BHAC”). BHAC is a bond insurance company created by Berkshire Hathaway, Inc. (“Berkshire”) in December 2007 and is licensed to write financial guarantee insurance in 49 states.

On April 11, 2008, S&P assigned an initial rating of AAA to BHAC’s insurance financial strength, with a stable outlook. On April 25, 2008, Moody’s assigned an initial rating of Aaa to BHAC’s insurance financial strength, with a stable outlook. On April 8, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of BHAC from Aaa to Aa1, with a stable outlook. This downgrade reflects Moody’s view concerning “the impact on Berkshire’s key businesses of the severe decline in equity markets over the past year as well as the protracted economic recession.” Moody’s noted that Berkshire is also exposed to heightened volatility in its earnings and capital base related to market value fluctuations within its large portfolio of equity derivatives. On February 4, 2010, S&P lowered the financial strength rating of BHAC from AAA to AA+, with a stable outlook. The February 4, 2010 rating action was taken in anticipation of Berkshire’s acquisition of Northern Santa Fe Corporation reflecting S&P’s expectation that a significant part of the internal cash for the acquisition will come from Berkshire’s core insurance operations and that Berkshire’s overall capital adequacy has weakened to levels no longer consistent with a AAA rating and is not expected to return to extremely strong levels in the near term.

As of September 30, 2012, Berkshire had total assets of $424.1 billion and total liabilities of $235.0 billion, resulting in total shareholder equity of $189.1 billion.

The information relating to BHAC and its affiliates contained above has been furnished by BHAC or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. (“CIFG”). CIFG Holding, Inc. is the holding company for the CIFG group of financial guaranty insurance and reinsurance companies (“CIFG Group”), including CIFG Assurance North America, Inc., a New York corporation and its subsidiaries. The CIFG Group is actively managing the runoff of a portfolio of insured structured finance, municipal and infrastructure risks. On September 29, 2010, CIFG and
CIFG Guaranty entered into a merger agreement which resulted in CIFG Guaranty merging into CIFG (together with related transactions, the “CIFG Merger”).

On March 6, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of CIFG from Aaa to A1, with a stable outlook. This rating action reflected Moody’s assessment of CIFG’s weakened capitalization, impaired business opportunities, and uncertain strategic direction, as a result, in part, of its exposures to the U.S. residential mortgage market. Moody’s believed that CIFG’s significant exposure to the mortgage sector, especially ABS CDOs, is indicative of a risk posture far greater than would be consistent with a Aaa rating going forward. On May 20, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of CIFG to Ba2 from A1, with direction uncertain, reflecting the high likelihood that, absent material developments, the company would fail minimum regulatory capital requirements in New York and Bermuda due to expected significant increases in modeled loss reserves on ABS CDOs. In Moody’s view, the breach of such regulatory capital requirements would put the company in a precarious position, especially in light of the solvency provisions embedded in its CDS exposures. On October 28, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of CIFG from Ba2 to B3, with direction uncertain, reflecting Moody’s expectation of substantially higher mortgage-related losses arising from CIFG’s insured portfolio, as well as the possibility that certain troubled exposures could be commuted. On January 22, 2009, Moody’s upgraded the insurance financial strength rating of CIFG from B3 to Ba3, with a developing outlook, reflecting the strengthened capital adequacy profile of CIFG following its restructuring and the commutation of substantially all of its ABS CDO risks. Also on January 22, 2009, S&P raised the insurance financial strength rating of CIFG to BB from B, with a developing outlook. S&P’s January 22, 2009 upgrade results from the completion of CIFG's restructuring plan involving key policyholders, creditors, and equity owners (counterparties) who had hedged their ABS CDO and commercial real estate CDO exposures with CIFG and which were significantly affected by defaults and downgrades of the underlying collateral. On June 15, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of CIFG to CC from BB, with a negative outlook, reflecting S&P’s view of the significant deterioration in the company’s insured portfolio of nonprime RMBS which has necessitated that CIFG strengthen reserves to account for the higher projected claims. On August 20, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of CIFG from Ba3 to Caa2, resulting from significant deterioration in the company’s remaining insured portfolio since January, 2009 when CIFG initiated a broad restructuring. On November 11, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of CIFG from Caa2 to Ca. Also on November 11, 2009, Moody’s announced that it will withdraw the insurance financial strength rating of CIFG. The November 11, 2009 rating actions reflect Moody’s view that material deterioration in CIFG’s insured portfolio adversely affected the guarantor’s capital adequacy profile and Moody’s believes that CIFG may no longer have sufficient financial resources to pay all insurance claims. On February 16, 2010, S&P withdrew the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of CIFG.

As of September 30, 2012, CIFG had net admitted assets of $742.3 million and total liabilities of $385.5 million. CIFG’s statutory surplus as of September 30, 2012 is approximately $356.7 million, a decrease of approximately $227.8 million from approximately $584.5 million at December 31, 2011. This decrease is primarily attributable to unpaid losses and loss adjustment expense reserves established for student loans of approximately $252.3 million, which is partially offset by other income statement balances resulting in a net loss of approximately $227.0 million and an increase in contingency reserves of approximately $3.5 million.

The information relating to CIFG and its affiliates contained above has been furnished by CIFG or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

Financial Guaranty Insurance Company (“FGIC”). FGIC is a wholly owned subsidiary of FGIC Corporation. The company was engaged in the business of providing financial guaranty insurance and other forms of credit enhancement for public finance and structured finance obligations. In January 2008, FGIC voluntarily ceased writing financial guaranty policies concerning new or additional risks.
The deterioration in the U.S. housing and mortgage markets and the global credit markets, which accelerated in the fourth quarter of 2007 and continued through the fourth quarter of 2009, has adversely affected the company’s business, results of operations and financial condition. Specifically, the company incurred significant losses related to its exposure to certain ABS CDOs, which are backed primarily by subprime RMBS, and to certain RMBS, primarily backed by first-lien or second-lien mortgages. These losses have resulted in a substantial reduction of FGIC’s statutory policyholders’ surplus over time. On November 24, 2009, the New York State Insurance Department, the predecessor to the New York State Department of Financial Services, issued an order pursuant to Section 1310 of the New York Insurance Law requiring FGIC to, among other things, suspend paying any and all claims. FGIC was subsequently unable to eliminate the impairment of its policyholders’ surplus through an out-of-court Surplus Restoration Plan. On June 11, 2012, Benjamin M. Lawsky, Superintendent of Financial Services of the State of New York (the “Superintendent”) filed a verified petition with the Supreme Court of the State of New York for an order of rehabilitation (i) appointing the Superintendent as rehabilitator (“Rehabilitator”) of FGIC, (ii) directing the Rehabilitator to take possession of the property and assets of FGIC and to conduct the business thereof, and (iii) directing the Rehabilitator to take steps towards the removal of the causes and conditions which have made FGIC’s rehabilitation proceeding (the “Rehabilitation Proceeding”) necessary. FGIC consented to the commencement of the Rehabilitation Proceeding.

Prior to the fourth quarter of 2007, FGIC’s financial strength was rated “Aaa” by Moody’s “AAA” by S&P, and “AAA” by Fitch Ratings, Inc. (“Fitch”). Moody’s, S&P and Fitch have since completed several assessments of FGIC’s capital adequacy in relation to the company’s exposure to ABS CDOs which are backed primarily by subprime RMBS, and its exposure to first-lien and second-lien RMBS. As a result of these assessments, Moody’s, S&P and Fitch downgraded the financial strength ratings of FGIC. As of March 31, 2008, Fitch had downgraded FGIC from AA to BBB with Rating Watch Negative; Moody’s had downgraded FGIC from A3 to Baa3; and S&P had downgraded FGIC from A to BB. On June 20, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of FGIC from Baa3 to B1, reflecting FGIC’s severely impaired financial flexibility and proximity to minimum regulatory capital requirements relative to Moody’s estimates of expected case losses. On November 24, 2008, S&P lowered its insurance financial strength rating of FGIC to CCC from BB, with a negative outlook. S&P’s November 24, 2008 downgrade results from FGIC’s exposure to nonprime and second-lien mortgages and related CDO of ABS. On December 19, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of FGIC from B1 to Caa1, with a negative outlook, reflecting Moody’s expectation of higher mortgage-related losses arising from FGIC’s insured portfolio and the constrained liquidity and financial flexibility of the holding company. On March 24, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of FGIC from Caa1 to Caa3, with a negative outlook, reflecting Moody’s expectation of higher mortgage-related losses arising from FGIC’s insured portfolio, insufficient claims paying resources to cover Moody’s estimate of expected loss, and the constrained liquidity and financial flexibility of FGIC’s holding company. Also on March 24, 2009, Moody’s withdrew the insurance financial strength rating of FGIC. On April 22, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of FGIC to CC from CCC, with a negative outlook. Also on April 22, 2009, S&P withdrew the ratings on FGIC and the counterparty credit rating on the holding company, FGIC Corporation, because of S&P’s expectation that timely and comprehensive financial information will no longer be available.

As of September 30, 2012, FGIC had net admitted assets of approximately $2.1 billion and total liabilities of approximately $5.8 billion.

On August 4, 2010, FGIC Corporation announced that it had filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the Southern District of New York. None of FGIC Corporation’s subsidiaries or affiliates, including FGIC, are part of the Chapter 11 filing.

The information relating to FGIC and its affiliates contained above has been furnished by FGIC or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

On February 18, 2009, MBIA, Inc., the parent company of MBIA Corp., announced the restructuring of its financial guaranty insurance operations following the approval of the New York and Illinois insurance regulators. The restructuring involved the segregation of its financial guaranty insurance operations into two separately capitalized sister companies, with National Guarantee assuming the risk associated with its U.S. municipal exposures, and with MBIA Corp. insuring the remainder of the portfolio, including all international and structured finance exposures. Business ceded to MBIA Corp. from FGIC in 2008 has been assigned to National Guarantee. To provide additional protection for its municipal bond policyholders, National Guarantee has also issued second-to-pay policies for the benefit of the policyholders covered by the reinsurance and assignment. The second-to-pay policies, which are a direct obligation of National Guarantee, will be held by The Bank of New York Mellon as insurance trustee. These policies provide that if MBIA Corp. or FGIC, as applicable, do not pay valid claims of their policyholders, the policyholders will then be able to make a claim directly against National Guarantee under the second-to-pay policies. On March 19, 2009, MBIA Illinois formally changed its name to National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation. Effective December 1, 2009, National Guarantee was redomesticated to the State of New York and is subject to insurance regulations and supervision of the State of New York. National Guarantee is a wholly owned subsidiary of MBIA, Inc. and independently capitalized with $5.6 billion in claims-paying resources as of December 31, 2010. In certain states, National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation may operate under its prior name, MBIA Insurance Corp. of Illinois.

On June 5, 2008, S&P downgraded MBIA Corp.’s insurance financial strength rating to AA from AAA. On June 19, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of MBIA Corp. and its insurance affiliates from Aaa to A2. Moody’s June 19, 2008 downgrade of MBIA Corp. and its insurance affiliates reflects MBIA Corp.’s limited financial flexibility and impaired franchise, as well as the substantial risk within its portfolio of insured exposures and a movement toward more aggressive capital management within the group. On November 7, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of MBIA Corp. and its insurance affiliates from A2 to Baa1, with a developing outlook. Moody’s November 7, 2008 downgrade of MBIA Corp. and its insurance affiliates reflects MBIA Corp.’s diminished business and financial profile resulting from its exposure to losses from U.S. mortgage risks and disruption in the financial guaranty business more broadly. On February 18, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of MBIA Corp. from Baa1 to B3, with a developing outlook, reflecting MBIA Corp.’s substantial reduction in claims-paying resources relative to the remaining higher-risk exposures in its insured portfolio, given the removal of capital, and the transfer of unearned premium reserves associated with the ceding of its municipal portfolio to MBIA Illinois, as well as the continued deterioration of MBIA Corp.’s insured portfolio of largely structured credits, with stress reaching sectors beyond residential mortgage-related securities. Also on February 18, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of MBIA Corp. to BBB+ from AA, with a negative outlook. The February 18, 2009 rating action on MBIA Corp. reflects S&P’s view that MBIA Corp.’s retained insured portfolio lacks sufficient sector diversity and with time could become more concentrated, and that MBIA Corp.’s 2005–2007 vintage direct RMBS, CDO of ABS, and other structured exposures are subject to continued adverse loss development that could erode capital adequacy. At the same time, S&P lowered the counterparty credit and financial strength ratings of MBIA Illinois to AA- from AA, reflecting MBIA Illinois’s uncertain business prospects and capital. On June 5, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of MBIA Corp. to BBB from BBB+, with a negative outlook, resulting from MBIA Corp.’s increased loss assumptions on its 2005–2007 vintage direct RMBS and CDO of ABS and a change in the assumed tax benefit of tax-loss carryforwards. Also on June 5, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of National Guarantee to A from AA-, with a negative outlook, reflecting
S&P's view of National Guarantee's uncertain business and capital-raising prospects. On September 28, 2009, S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of MBIA Corp. to BB+ from BBB, with a negative outlook, reflecting S&P’s view that macroeconomic conditions continue to contribute to losses on the group’s structured finance products. On December 22, 2010 S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of MBIA Corp. to B from BB+, with a negative outlook, reflecting increased stress case loss projections. Also on December 22, 2010 S&P lowered the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of National Guarantee to A from BB, with a developing outlook. On December 19, 2011 Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of National Guarantee from Baa1 to Baa2, with a negative outlook, based upon weakening of the overall MBIA group’s market standing as losses grow at National Guarantee’s affiliated companies and the risk that resources at National Guarantee could be drawn away to support losses elsewhere within the group. On May 10, 2013 S&P raised the counterparty credit, financial strength, and financial enhancement ratings of National Guarantee to A from BB, with a stable outlook. The May 10, 2013 rating action reflects S&P’s view that MBIA Corp. no longer acts as an anchor on the National Guarantee rating following the settlement with Societe Generale that ends litigation challenging National Guarantee’s split from MBIA Corp. in 2009. On May 21, 2013 Moody’s upgraded the insurance financial strength rating of MBIA Corp. to B3 from Caa2, with a positive outlook, citing MBIA Corp.’s improved capital and liquidity profile following settlements of putback receivables and insured claims with major counterparties. Also on May 21, 2013 Moody’s upgraded the insurance financial strength rating of National Guarantee to Baa1 from Baa2, with a positive outlook. The May 21, 2013 rating action reflects National Guarantee’s improved credit profile following the repayment of the loan from its weaker affiliate, MBIA Corp., and the termination of the litigation related to the 2009 restructuring.

As of September 30, 2012, National Guarantee had total net admitted assets of $5.9 billion and total liabilities of $4.1 billion, resulting in a surplus as regard policyholders of $1.8 billion.

As of September 30, 2012, MBIA, Inc. and its subsidiaries had total assets of $22.1 billion and total liabilities of $19.6 billion. MBIA, Inc.’s total shareholders’ equity as of September 30, 2012 was $2.6 billion, increasing from $1.7 billion as of December 31, 2011.

The information relating to MBIA and its affiliates contained above has been furnished by MBIA or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

Radian Asset Assurance, Inc. (“Radian”). Radian Group Inc. (“Radian Group”), headquartered in Philadelphia, provides private mortgage insurance and related risk mitigation products and services to mortgage lenders nationwide through its principal operating subsidiary, Radian Guaranty Inc. (“Radian Guaranty”). Radian, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Radian Guaranty, is domiciled and licensed in New York as a financial guaranty insurer. In the third quarter of 2008, Radian discontinued writing any new financial guaranty business, including accepting reinsurance.

On March 12, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Radian, as well as the ratings of its operational affiliates, from A3 to Ba1, with a stable outlook, reflecting the substantial deterioration in the credit profile of Radian Guaranty, coupled with increased loss estimates on Radian’s pooled corporate exposures. On November 24, 2009, S&P lowered the financial strength, financial enhancement, and corporate credit ratings of Radian to BB from BBB- and left the ratings on CreditWatch with negative implications, reflecting S&P’s view that adverse loss development in Radian’s insured portfolio has resulted in higher capital charges and could result in further losses. On December 22, 2009, S&P lowered the financial strength, financial enhancement, and corporate credit ratings of Radian to BB- from BB, with a negative outlook.

As of September 30, 2012, Radian Group had total assets of $6.45 billion and total liabilities of $5.43 billion. As of September 30, 2012, Radian had a statutory policyholders’ surplus of $1.02 billion and a contingency reserve of $290.9 million.
The information relating to Radian and its affiliates contained above has been furnished by Radian or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

**Syncora Guarantee Inc.** ("Syncora Guarantee") (formerly XL Capital Assurance Inc. ("XLCA")). Syncora Guarantee, a wholly owned subsidiary of Syncora Holdings Ltd. ("Syncora Holdings"), is a New York domiciled financial guarantee insurance company which provides credit enhancement and protection products to the public finance and structured finance markets throughout the United States and internationally.

In February 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength ratings of XLCA to A3 from Aaa. On June 20, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of XLCA from A3 to B2, reflecting XLCA’s severely impaired financial flexibility and proximity to minimum regulatory capital requirements relative to Moody’s estimates of expected case losses. On October 24, 2008, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Syncora Guarantee from B2 to Caa1. On November 18, 2008, S&P lowered its insurance financial strength rating of Syncora Guarantee to B from BBB- with developing expectations. S&P’s November 18, 2008 downgrade resulted from the Syncora Guarantee’s delay in implementing its restructuring plan and slow progress in its negotiations with counterparties of its CDO of ABS exposure. On January 29, 2009, S&P lowered the issuer credit and financial strength ratings of Syncora Guarantee to CC from B, with a negative outlook. S&P’s January 29, 2009 downgrade resulted from S&P’s recent update to its distressed exchange criteria. On March 9, 2009, Moody’s downgraded the insurance financial strength rating of Syncora Guarantee from Caa1 to Ca, with a developing outlook, as a result of the large loss reserve and credit impairment charges taken by Syncora Guarantee on its mortgage-related exposures during the fourth quarter, which have resulted in a $2.4 billion statutory deficit at Syncora Guarantee as of December 31, 2008. On April 27, 2009, S&P revised the financial strength and financial enhancement ratings of Syncora Guarantee to R from CC (an issuer rated “R” by S&P is under regulatory supervision because of its financial condition). Also on April 27, 2009, S&P revised the counterparty credit rating of Syncora Guarantee to D from CC (an issuer rated “D” by S&P has failed to pay one or more of its financial obligation when it became due). S&P’s April 27, 2009 rating actions resulted from Syncora Guarantee’s announcement that pursuant to an order of the New York Insurance Department ("NYID"), the company must suspend any and all claims payments until it has restored its policyholders’ surplus to a level greater than or equal to $65 million, the minimum the state requires. On July 28, 2010, S&P withdrew the D counterparty credit rating and the R financial strength and financial enhancement ratings of Syncora Guarantee. S&P’s July 28, 2010 ratings actions resulted from S&P’s belief that there is not sufficient information to judge Syncora Guarantee’s claims paying ability.

As of September 30, 2012, Syncora Guarantee had total assets of $1.17 billion and total liabilities of $639 million, resulting in a policyholders’ surplus of $504.2 million.

On July 20, 2010, Syncora Holdings announced that Syncora Guarantee has completed its remediation plan sufficient to meet its minimum statutory policyholder surplus requirements and address previously announced short and medium term liquidity issues. Also on July 20, 2010, Syncora Holdings announced that the NYID had approved Syncora Guarantee’s plan for the payment of accrued and unpaid claims and for the payment of new claims as they become due in the ordinary course of business, resulting in the recommencement of claim payments by Syncora Guarantee on regularly scheduled payment dates occurring on or after July 21, 2010.

The information relating to Syncora Guarantee and its affiliates contained above has been furnished by Syncora Guarantee or the rating agencies. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information, or as to the existence of any adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

The public can read and copy any materials the above referenced companies file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference in Washington, D.C. You may obtain information about the Public Reference Room by calling 1-202-551-8090. Reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, which may
include the companies listed above, that file electronically with the SEC available on the EDGAR Database on the SEC’s Internet site at http://www.sec.gov.

**Portfolio Administration**

The Trustee is empowered to sell, for the purpose of redeeming Units tendered by any Unitholder, and for the payment of expenses for which funds may not be available, such of the bonds designated by the Supervisor as the Trustee in its sole discretion may deem necessary. The Supervisor, in designating such bonds, will consider a variety of factors, including (a) interest rates, (b) market value and (c) marketability. To the extent that bonds are sold which are current in payment of principal and interest in order to meet redemption requests and defaulted bonds are retained in the portfolio in order to preserve the related insurance protection applicable to said bonds, if any, the overall quality of the bonds remaining in a Trust’s portfolio will tend to diminish. The Sponsor is empowered, but not obligated, to direct the Trustee to dispose of bonds in the event of an advanced refunding.

The Sponsor is required to instruct the Trustee to reject any offer made by an issuer of any of the bonds to issue new bonds in exchange or substitution for any bond pursuant to a refunding or refinancing plan, except that the Sponsor may instruct the Trustee to accept or reject such an offer or to take any other action with respect thereto as the Sponsor may deem proper if (1) the issuer is in default with respect to such bond or (2) in the written opinion of the Sponsor the issuer will probably default with respect to such bond in the reasonably foreseeable future. Any bond so received in exchange or substitution will be held by the Trustee subject to the terms and conditions of the Trust Agreement to the same extent as bonds originally deposited thereunder. Within five days after the deposit of obligations in exchange or substitution for underlying bonds, the Trustee is required to give notice thereof to each Unitholder, identifying the bonds eliminated and the bonds substituted therefor. Except as stated herein and under “Trust Administration--Replacement Bonds” in the prospectus regarding the substitution of Replacement Bonds for Failed Bonds, the acquisition by a Trust of any bonds other than the bonds initially deposited is not permitted.

If any default in the payment of principal or interest on any bond occurs and no provision for payment is made therefor within 30 days, the Trustee is required to notify the Sponsor thereof. If the Sponsor fails to instruct the Trustee to sell or to hold such bonds within 30 days after notification by the Trustee to the Sponsor of such default, the Trustee may in its discretion sell the defaulted Bond and not be liable for any depreciation or loss thereby incurred.

**Sponsor Information**

**Sponsor.** Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. is the Sponsor of your Trust. The Sponsor is a wholly owned subsidiary of Invesco Advisers, Inc. (“Invesco Advisers”). Invesco Advisers is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Invesco Ltd., a leading independent global investment manager that provides a wide range of investment strategies and vehicles to its retail, institutional and high net worth clients around the globe. The Sponsor’s principal office is located at 11 Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046-1173. As of March 31, 2014, the total stockholders’ equity of Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. was $89,026,182 (unaudited). The current assets under management and supervision by Invesco Ltd. and its affiliates were valued at approximately $787.3 billion as of March 31, 2014. (This paragraph relates only to the Sponsor and not to the Trust or to any other Series thereof. The information is included herein only for the purpose of informing investors as to the financial responsibility of the Sponsor and its ability to carry out its contractual obligations. More detailed financial information will be made available by the Sponsor upon request.)

The Sponsor and your Trust have adopted a code of ethics requiring Invesco Ltd.’s employees who have access to information on Trust transactions to report personal securities transactions. The purpose of the code is to avoid potential conflicts of interest and to prevent fraud, deception or misconduct with respect to your Trust.

If the Sponsor shall fail to perform any of its duties under the Trust Agreement or become incapable of acting or shall become bankrupt or its affairs are taken over by public authorities, then the Trustee may (i) appoint a
successor Sponsor at rates of compensation deemed by the Trustee to be reasonable and not exceeding amounts prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, (ii) terminate the Trust Agreement and liquidate the Trusts as provided therein or (iii) continue to act as Trustee without terminating the Trust Agreement.

**Trustee Information**

The Trustee is The Bank of New York Mellon, a trust company organized under the laws of New York. The Bank of New York Mellon has its principal unit investment trust division offices at 2 Hanson Place, 12th Floor, Brooklyn, New York 11217, telephone (800) 856-8487. The Bank of New York Mellon is subject to supervision and examination by the Superintendent of Banks of the State of New York and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to the extent permitted by law.

The duties of the Trustee are primarily ministerial in nature. It did not participate in the selection of bonds for the portfolios of any of the Trusts. In accordance with the Trust Agreement, the Trustee shall keep proper books of record and account of all transactions at its office for the Trusts. Such records shall include the name and address of every Unitholder of the Trusts. Such books and records shall be open to inspection by any Unitholder at all reasonable times during the usual business hours. The Trustee shall make such annual or other reports as may from time to time be required under any applicable state or federal statute, rule or regulation. The Trustee is required to keep a certified copy or duplicate original of the Trust Agreement on file in its office available for inspection at all reasonable times during the usual business hours by any Unitholder, together with a current list of the bonds held in the Trusts.

Under the Trust Agreement, the Trustee or any successor trustee may resign and be discharged of the trusts created by the Trust Agreement by executing an instrument in writing and filing the same with the Sponsor. The Trustee or successor trustee must mail a copy of the notice of resignation to all Unitholders then of record, not less than 60 days before the date specified in such notice when such resignation is to take effect. The Sponsor upon receiving notice of such resignation is obligated to appoint a successor trustee promptly. If, upon such resignation, no successor trustee has been appointed and has accepted the appointment within 30 days after notification, the retiring Trustee may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction for the appointment of a successor. The Sponsor may remove the Trustee and appoint a successor trustee as provided in the Trust Agreement at any time with or without cause. Notice of such removal and appointment shall be mailed to each Unitholder by the Sponsor. Upon execution of a written acceptance of such appointment by such successor trustee, all the rights, powers, duties and bonds of the original trustee shall vest in the successor. The resignation or removal of a Trustee becomes effective only when the successor trustee accepts its appointment as such or when a court of competent jurisdiction appoints a successor trustee. Any corporation into which a Trustee may be merged or with which it may be consolidated, or any corporation resulting from any merger or consolidation to which a Trustee shall be a party, shall be the successor trustee. The Trustee must be a banking corporation organized under the laws of the United States or any state and having at all times an aggregate capital, surplus and undivided profits of not less than $5,000,000.

**Taxation**

The prospectus contains a discussion of certain U.S. federal income tax issues concerning your Trust and the purchase, ownership and disposition of Trust Units. The discussion below supplements the prospectus discussion, is qualified in its entirety by the prospectus discussion and is not intended to be a complete discussion of all material tax consequences that might apply to an investor in the Trust. Prospective investors should consult their own tax advisors with regard to the federal tax consequences of the purchase, ownership, or disposition of Trust Units, as well as the tax consequences arising under the laws of any state, locality, non-U.S. country, or other taxing jurisdiction.

The federal income tax summary below and in the prospectus is based on the Code, Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder, case law and rulings and announcements by the Internal Revenue Service, and in part on
the advice of counsel to your Trust. The laws on which such advice of counsel is based are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. The Internal Revenue Service could disagree with any conclusions set forth in these discussions. In addition, our counsel was not asked to review the federal income tax treatment of the assets to be held by your Trust.

Your Trust intends to elect and to qualify annually as a regulated investment company under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) and to comply with applicable distribution requirements so that it will not pay federal income tax on income and capital gains distributed to its Unitholders. If the Trust does not qualify as a regulated investment company, it will be taxed as a regular corporation.

To qualify for the favorable U.S. federal income tax treatment generally accorded to regulated investment companies, your Trust must, among other things, (a) derive in each taxable year at least 90% of its gross income from dividends, interest, payments with respect to securities loans and gains from the sale or other disposition of stock, securities or foreign currencies or other income derived with respect to its business of investing in such stock, securities or currencies, and net income from certain publicly traded partnerships; (b) diversify its holdings so that, at the end of each quarter of the taxable year, (i) at least 50% of the market value of the Trust’s assets is represented by cash and cash items (including receivables), U.S. government securities, the securities of other regulated investment companies and other securities, with such other securities of any one issuer generally limited for the purposes of this calculation to an amount not greater than 5% of the value of the Trust’s total assets and not greater than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of such issuer, and (ii) not more than 25% of the value of its total assets is invested in the securities (other than U.S. government securities or the securities of other regulated investment companies) of any one issuer, or two or more issuers which the Trust controls (by owning 20% or more of such issuer’s outstanding voting securities) and which are engaged in the same, similar or related trades or businesses, or the securities of qualified publicly traded partnerships; and (c) distribute at least 90% of its investment company taxable income (which includes, among other items, dividends, interest and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses but excludes net capital gain, if any) and at least 90% of its net tax-exempt interest income, if any, each taxable year.

As a regulated investment company, your Trust generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on its investment company taxable income (as that term is defined in the Code, but without regard to the deduction for dividends paid) and net capital gain (the excess of net long-term capital gain over net short-term capital loss), if any, that it distributes to Unitholders. Your Trust intends to distribute to its Unitholders, at least annually, substantially all of its investment company taxable income and net capital gain. If your Trust retains any net capital gain or investment company taxable income, it will generally be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates on the amount retained. In addition, amounts not distributed on a timely basis in accordance with a calendar year distribution requirement are subject to a nondeductible 4% excise tax unless, generally, your Trust distributes during each calendar year an amount equal to the sum of (1) at least 98% of its ordinary income (not taking into account any capital gains or losses) for the calendar year; (2) at least 98.2% of its capital gains in excess of its capital losses (adjusted for certain ordinary losses) for the one-year period ending October 31 of the calendar year; and (3) any ordinary income and capital gains for previous years that were not distributed or taxed during those years. To prevent application of the excise tax, your Trust intends to make its distributions in accordance with the calendar year distribution requirement. Further, if your Trust retains any net capital gain, the Trust may designate the retained amount as undistributed capital gains in a notice to Unitholders who, if subject to federal income tax on long-term capital gains, (i) will be required to include in income for federal income tax purposes, as long-term capital gain, their share of such undistributed amount, and (ii) will be entitled to credit their proportionate share of the tax paid by the Trust against their federal income tax liabilities, if any, and to claim refunds to the extent the credit exceeds such liabilities. A distribution will be treated as paid on December 31 of the current calendar year if it is declared by your Trust in October, November or December with a record date in such a month and paid by your Trust during January of the following calendar year. These distributions will be
taxable to Unitholders in the calendar year in which the distributions are declared, rather than the calendar year in which the distributions are received.

If your Trust failed to qualify as a regulated investment company or failed to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement in any taxable year, the Trust would be taxed as an ordinary corporation on its taxable income (even if such income were distributed to its Unitholders) and all distributions out of earnings and profits would be taxed to Unitholders as ordinary dividend income.

Your Trust may be required to withhold as backup withholding federal income tax at the backup withholding rate on all taxable distributions payable to you if you fail to provide your correct taxpayer identification number or to make required certifications, or if you have been notified by the IRS that you are subject to backup withholding. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Any amounts withheld may be credited against your federal income tax liability if you provide the required information or certification.

A 3.8% Medicare tax is imposed on the investment income of taxpayers in the higher income brackets, which includes dividends and capital gains with respect to your Units in the Trust.

Investors in the Trust may be subject to federal, state, local, or foreign taxes in connection with their investment in the Trust. Investors are encouraged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the specific federal, state, local, and foreign tax consequences that may affect them as a result of an investment in the Trust. The Trust may make taxable distributions to you even during periods in which the value of Units has declined.

**Termination of the Trust Agreement**

A Trust may be terminated at any time by consent of Unitholders representing 75% of the Units of the Trust then outstanding or by the Trustee when the value of the Trust, as shown by any semi-annual evaluation, is less than 20% of the original principal amount of bonds.

A Trust will be liquidated by the Trustee in the event that a sufficient number of Units not yet sold are tendered for redemption by the Underwriters, including the Sponsor, so that the net worth of the Trust would be reduced to less than 40% of the initial principal amount of the Trust. If a Trust is liquidated because of the redemption of unsold Units by the Underwriters, the Sponsor will refund to each purchaser of Units the entire sales charge paid by such purchaser.

The Trust Agreement provides that a Trust shall terminate upon the redemption, sale or other disposition of the last bond held in the Trust, but in no event shall it continue beyond the end of the year preceding the fiftieth anniversary of the Trust Agreement in the case of a Long-Term Trust, a Laddered Trust or a 10-20 Year Trust, and at the end of the calendar year prior to the twentieth anniversary of its execution in the case of an Intermediate-Term Trust. In the event of termination of a Trust, written notice thereof will be sent by the Trustee to each Unitholder at his address appearing on the registration books of the Trust maintained by the Trustee. Within a reasonable time thereafter the Trustee shall liquidate any bonds then held in a Trust and shall deduct from the funds of the Trust any accrued costs, expenses or indemnities provided by the Trust Agreement, including estimated compensation of the Trustee and costs of liquidation and any amounts required as a reserve to provide for payment of any applicable taxes or other governmental charges. The sale of bonds in a Trust upon termination may result in a lower amount than might otherwise be realized if such sale were not required at such time. For this reason, among others, the amount realized by a Unitholder upon termination may be less than the principal amount or par amount of bonds represented by the Units held by such Unitholder. The Trustee shall then distribute to each Unitholder his share of the balance of the Interest and Principal Accounts. With such distribution the Unitholders shall be furnished a final distribution statement of the amount distributable. At such time as the Trustee in its sole discretion shall determine that any amounts held in reserve are no longer necessary, it shall make distribution thereof to Unitholders in the same manner.
Description of Ratings

Standard & Poor's, A Division of the McGraw-Hill Companies. A Standard & Poor's long-term debt obligation credit rating is a current opinion of the creditworthiness of an obligor with respect to a specific debt obligation. This opinion of creditworthiness may take into consideration the creditworthiness of guarantors, insurers or other forms of credit enhancement on the obligation.

The long-term debt obligation credit ratings are not a recommendation to purchase, sell or hold the debt obligation, inasmuch as they do not comment as to market price or suitability for a particular investor.

The long-term debt obligation credit ratings are based on current information furnished by the obligor or obtained by Standard & Poor's from other sources it considers reliable. Standard & Poor's does not perform an audit in connection with any credit rating and may, on occasion, rely on unaudited financial information. Credit ratings may be changed, suspended or withdrawn as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, such information, or based on other circumstances.

The long-term debt obligation credit ratings are based, in varying degrees, on the following considerations:

I. Likelihood of payment--capacity and willingness of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on an obligation in accordance with the terms of the obligation.

II. Nature of and provisions of the obligation.

III. Protection afforded by, and relative position of, the obligation in the event of bankruptcy, reorganization or other arrangement under the laws of bankruptcy and other laws affecting creditors’ rights.

The credit rating definitions are expressed in terms of default risk. As such, they pertain to senior obligations of an entity. Junior obligations are typically rated lower than senior obligations to reflect the lower priority in bankruptcy, as noted above. (Such differentiation applies when an entity has both senior and subordinate obligations, secured and unsecured obligations or operating company and holding company obligations.) Accordingly, in the case of junior debt, the rating may not conform exactly with the category definition.

AAA--An obligation rated “AAA” has the highest rating assigned by Standard & Poor’s. The obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is extremely strong.

AA--An obligation rated “AA” differs from the highest-rated obligations only in small degree. The obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is very strong.

A--An obligation rated “A” is somewhat more susceptible to adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher-rated categories. However, the obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is still strong.

BBB--An obligation rated “BBB” exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

Obligations rated “BB,” “B,” “CCC,” “CC” and “C” are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. “BB” indicates the least degree of speculation and “C” the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposures to adverse conditions.

Plus (+) or Minus (-): The ratings from “AA” to “CCC” may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

NR--This indicates that no rating has been requested, that there is insufficient information on which to base a rating or that Standard & Poor's does not rate a particular obligation as a matter of policy.
**Moody's Investors Service. Municipal long-term rating scale.** Moody's municipal ratings are opinions of the investment quality of issuers and issues in the US municipal and tax-exempt markets. As such, these ratings incorporate Moody's assessment of the default probability and loss severity of these issuers and issues. The default and loss content for Moody's municipal long-term rating scale differs from Moody's general long-term rating scale.

Municipal ratings are based upon the analysis of five primary factors relating to municipal finance: market position, financial position, debt levels, governance, and covenants. Each of the factors is evaluated individually and for its effect on the other factors in the context of the municipality’s ability to repay its debt.

Aaa--Issuers or issues rated Aaa demonstrate the strongest creditworthiness relative to other US municipal or tax-exempt issuers or issues.

Aa--Issuers or issues rated Aa demonstrate very strong creditworthiness relative to other US municipal or tax-exempt issuers or issues.

A--Issuers or issues rated A present above-average creditworthiness relative to other US municipal or tax-exempt issuers or issues.

Baa--Issuers or issues rated Baa represent average creditworthiness relative to other US municipal or tax-exempt issuers or issues.

Obligations rated “Ba,” “B,” “Caa,” “Ca” and “C” are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. “Ba” indicates the least degree of speculation and “C” the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposures to adverse conditions.

Note: Moody’s appends numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3 to each generic rating category from Aa through Caa. The modifier 1 indicates that the issuer or obligation ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category; the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking; and the modifier 3 indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category.

**General long-term rating scale.** Moody’s long-term obligation ratings are opinions of the relative credit risk of fixed-income obligations with an original maturity of one year or more. They address the possibility that a financial obligation will not be honored as promised. Such ratings reflect both the likelihood of default and any financial loss suffered in the event of default.

Aaa--Obligations rated Aaa are judged to be of the highest quality, with minimal credit risk.

Aa--Obligations rated Aa are judged to be of high quality and are subject to very low credit risk.

A--Obligations rated A are considered upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk.

Baa--Obligations rated Baa are subject to moderate credit risk. They are considered medium-grade and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics.

Obligations rated “Ba,” “B,” “Caa,” “Ca” and “C” are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. “Ba” indicates the least degree of speculation and “C” the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposures to adverse conditions.

Note: Moody’s appends numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3 to each generic rating category from Aa through Caa. The modifier 1 indicates that the issuer or obligation ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category; the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking; and the modifier 3 indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category.
**Fitch Ratings. Long-Term Ratings Scales.** Fitch rated entities in a number of sectors, including financial and non-financial corporations, sovereigns and insurance companies, are generally assigned Issuer Default Ratings (“IDRs”). IDRs opine on an entity’s relative vulnerability to default on financial obligations. The “threshold” default risk addressed by the IDR is generally that of the financial obligations whose non-payment would best reflect the unsecured failure of that entity. As such, IDRs also address relative vulnerability to bankruptcy, administrative receivership or similar concepts, although the agency recognizes that issuers may also make pre-emptive and therefore voluntary use of such mechanisms.

In aggregate, IDRs provide an ordinal ranking of issuers based on the agency’s view of their relative vulnerability to default, rather than a prediction of a specific percentage likelihood of default. For historical information on the default experience of Fitch-rated issuers, please consult the transition and default performance studies available from the Fitch Ratings website.

- The ratings do not predict a specific percentage of default likelihood over any given time period;
- The ratings do not opine on the market value of any issuer’s securities or stock, or the likelihood that this value may change;
- The ratings do not opine on the liquidity of the issuer’s securities or stock;
- The ratings do not opine on the possible loss severity on an obligation should an issuer default;
- The ratings do not opine on the suitability of an issuer as a counterparty to trade credit;
- The ratings do not opine on any quality related to an issuer’s business, operational or financial profile other than the agency’s opinion on its relative vulnerability to default;

AAA--'AAA' ratings denote the lowest expectation of default risk. They are assigned only in cases of exceptionally strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is highly unlikely to be adversely affected by foreseeable events.

AA--'AA' ratings denote expectations of very low default risk. They indicate very strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. This capacity is not significantly vulnerable to foreseeable events.

A--'A' ratings denote expectations of low default risk. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be more vulnerable to adverse business or economic conditions than is the case for higher ratings.

BBB--'BBB' ratings indicate that expectations of default risk are currently low. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered adequate but adverse business or economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity.

Obligations rated “BB,” “B,” “CCC,” “CC” and “C” are regarded as having significant speculative characteristics. “BB” indicates the least degree of speculation and “C” the highest. While such obligations will likely have some quality and protective characteristics, these may be outweighed by large uncertainties or major exposures to adverse conditions.

The modifiers “+” or “-” may be appended to a rating to denote relative status within major rating categories. Such suffixes are not added to the ‘AAA’ Long-Term IDR category, or to Long-Term IDR categories below ‘B’.
**Estimated Cash Flows to Unitholders**

The table below sets forth the per Unit estimated monthly distributions of interest and principal to Unitholders. The table assumes no changes in expenses, no changes in the current interest rates, no exchanges, redemptions, sales or prepayments of the underlying bonds prior to maturity or expected retirement date and the receipt of principal upon maturity or expected retirement date. To the extent the foregoing assumptions change actual distributions will vary.

**High Income Investment Grade Trust, Series 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution Dates (Each Month)</th>
<th>Estimated Interest Distribution</th>
<th>Estimated Principal Distribution</th>
<th>Estimated Total Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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